

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
ADJOURNED MEETING  
JULY 15, 2002**

At an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Floyd County, Virginia, held on Monday, July 15, 2002, at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the County Administration Building, thereof;

PRESENT: David W. Ingram, Chairman; J. Fred Gerald, Vice Chairman; Diane B. Belcher, Jerry W. Boothe, Kerry W. Whitlock, Board Members; George W. Nester, County Administrator; Terri W. Morris, Assistant County Administrator; James E. Cornwell, County Attorney.

The Vice Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:10 p.m.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and carried, it was resolved to go into closed session under Section 2.2-3711, Paragraph A.1, Discussion of Personnel; Paragraph A.7, consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters that require legal advice.

Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - absent

Chairman Ingram entered the meeting at 7:15 p.m.

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to come out of closed session.

Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and carried, it was resolved to adopt the following resolution:

**CERTIFICATION RESOLUTION**

## CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote on the motion to close the meeting to discuss personnel and legal matters in accordance with Section 2.2-3711, Paragraphs A.1 and A.7 of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

Before a vote is taken on this resolution, is there any member who believes that there was a departure from the requirements of number (1) or number (2)? If so, identify yourself and state the substance of the matter and why in your judgement it was a departure.

Hearing no statement, I call the question.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - abstain - because of not being present for entire closed session

Consensus of the Board was for the County Attorney to proceed with items as discussed in closed session.

The Vice Chairman turned the chair over to the Chairman.

Consensus of the Board was to hold a joint meeting with the Industrial Development Authority on Monday, July 22, 2002 at 7:00 p.m.

Agenda Item 3 - Work session on the proposed Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Cornwell explained the provisions of Section 15.2-2232 of the Code of Virginia that outlines the Legal Status of the Comprehensive Plan. This section indicates that the plan must include all planned activities such as proposed roads, roads proposed to be abandoned, sites that might be developed for water/sewer, planned subdivisions, etc. The plan itself would need to be amended from time to time as new items are planned.

Some of the other items discussed that need to be included are the identification of greenbox sites and proposed water/sewer sites. Also discussed was the change to study zoning,

not implement zoning.

After further discussion, it was realized that all copies of the draft plan were not the same, and some with maps missing, so the discussion was delayed for another meeting, when new books may be obtained from the New River Valley Planning District Commission.

Agenda Item 4 - Work session on proposed amendments to the Floyd County Subdivision Ordinance. Mr. Cornwell stated that his changes did not come through e-mail to Mr. Nester. He will re-send the changes and Mr. Nester will circulate them to the Board with his Friday report.

Supervisor Gerald requested that the Board think about something that could be done in remembrance of September 11. The Board's September meeting is on September 10.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn to Monday, July 22, 2002 at 7:00 p.m. for a joint meeting with the Industrial Development Authority of Floyd County.

Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye