

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING
JULY 8, 2003**

At the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Floyd County, Virginia, held on Tuesday, July 8, 2003 at 8:30 a.m. in the Board Room of the County Administration Building, thereof;

PRESENT: David W. Ingram, Chairman; J. Fred Gerald, Vice Chairman; Diane B. Belcher, Jerry W. Boothe, Kerry W. Whitlock, Board Members; George W. Nester, County Administrator; Terri W. Morris, Assistant County Administrator.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

Supervisor Gerald led in the Opening Prayer.

Chairman Ingram led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mrs. Deronda Thomas, Treasurer, appeared before the Board. She presented the Invested Cash as of July 8, 2003. She reported that she had heard nothing from DMV as to start of a local licensing office.

Mrs. Thomas questioned when the date would change for the new penalty rate on delinquent taxes? Is that change next year or this year?

Mr. Nester commented that it would be this year, it was effective July 1, 2003 with the adoption of the ordinance.

The minutes of June 10, 2003 and June 24, 2003 were presented to the Board for review and approval.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the minutes of June 10, 2003 as presented.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and carried, it was

resolved to approve the minutes of June 24, 2003 as presented.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - abstain - not being present at the entire meeting

The monthly disbursements were presented to the Board for consideration and approval. A list of additional disbursements was also presented for the Board's review. Questions and discussion followed.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the monthly disbursements, plus additions, as presented, with the provision that there is only one TNT carport bill to be paid.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - aye

Mr. Dan Porter next appeared before the Board. He reported on some of the issues surrounding the New River Valley Community Services Board.

Mr. Porter - I have served on the New River Valley Community Services Board for the last five years and in that time, I feel I have been relentlessly outspoken in my scrutiny in the way finances have been allocated in that agency, and the services to the people are of good quality, and funding is essential to provide them. Its not a question or not of whether the services should be funded, but how cost effective the agency is in using the funds. A good example of a case in point, is in the last round of state budget cuts, I voted personally that non-essential expenditures in the areas of training and agency consultants, these monies in these areas be allocated for services to residents in an effort to keep at least two of our County residents involved in the workshop and unfortunately, in a hotly contested vote, the agency prevailed over the needs of the clients. The monies went to the what I consider non-essential expenditures, and not to the client services. But that is a good example as to the issue of how you spend the money in tough times. I've always felt that you go to maintain the services. For some reason, it seems that the directors of public service agencies appear to advance the agency's agenda through alliances with a majority of board members, and sometimes the needs of consumers of services takes second place to the needs of the agency. They are not always the same and when that happens, I think the agency becomes less responsive to the public needs. The only suggestion that I have that you might consider is through board member training for every citizen who is thinking about serving on any kind of public service board. You could provide some free service training through the County here to help acquaint them with the issues and challenges that they will encounter. I was able to direct the agency to a \$30,000 private grant, which was used exclusively in Floyd County, to strengthen the families program. I thought that was a very

positive benefit for the County in particular. I would urge you, however, please don't make assumptions that a Supervisor will carry more influence than a citizen member on this particular board. When it comes down to it, you get one vote, and I'm sure that you know how that is, serving on a Board yourself. Regardless of whether you are a Supervisor or a citizen, there is one vote, and each person has one vote. I've operated two private practice mental health clinics in Blacksburg and Roanoke for 23 years successfully. I've tried to bring that experience to bear in this agency even though the public sector is a lot different than the private sector. I'm leaving with you some recommendations that I would be glad to review with you if you have questions regarding the CSB and if you want consultation regarding this area in the future, I would be glad to do so. I think there is some problems with the administration of the agency, but in a short enough time, you will be able to tell for yourself. The first one has to do with the organization of that board. It's a small board, there's thirteen members on it, and I believe the first suggestion would really correct any problems or issues. That board can be organized in any manner that it chooses, because it is self-directed, but I feel that if a particular committee is eliminated, and the executive director addressed his agenda directly to the full board, there would be a more level playing field and greater representation. That's what the first suggestion has to do with. When you have a specific committee of the executive director that advances that agenda and makes those suggestions, its very hard for other members of the board to counter that, unless they risk the appearance of non-conformity. The second one has to do with the budget. Its been said that it is difficult to understand the budget in that agency. By my estimation, the problem is you can see where the numbers are allocated in the categories, but that doesn't tell you how effectively the monies are spent. You have to know the sub-categories and how the monies are broken down within those categories if you are going to understand really where they are going. Big chunks of money go into big categories, and what does that tell you? Well, it tells you the areas that the monies are sent to, but really not how they are spent within those categories. So if you wanted to ever take a closer look, that's how you would do it. And, you know, there has been recent movement for three of the five local governments to put a supervisor or a city council member on that board because of concerns about the budget and I feel like that it really comes down to taking a close look at how the board is organized. They have \$100,000 in uncollected fees that have been determined that if a person comes in for services, they assess their financial situation, and these are fees that these people should be able to pay given their financial situation. Now I don't know about other folks, but in a private business, if you have that high of a level of uncollected fees, that is a problem. And that's another area of concern. I would say overall, though, that the staff and services that they provide, are excellent.

Supervisor Whitlock - I would like to thank you for the work that you have done and would also like to thank you for bringing this to us. I guess I was one of the first ones to hear about the request at one of the regional jail meetings from an individual from another locality. His main idea was to try to get some accountability, its not because you weren't doing the job, I think you realize that. We wanted to try with the situation that we have, that we would get appropriate consideration. Also, with the amount of money that Floyd County is putting into this program, more than our share, and we want to get our money's worth. This is the first time that I've heard about the amount of collections, at least they should be trying to collect, maybe can't get 100% of them, but at least should have been able to collect \$50,000 of it.

Mr. Porter - one of the reasons for that problem is that during the prosperous years that preceded all the State budget cuts, there was a huge influx of money from Medicaid that was almost automatic. And the agency got very comfortable in getting that State money and really didn't work hard enough to collect the fees from clients that make up this \$100,000. So that big check every month from the State government from Medicaid, and you can get real comfortable behind that. And then, as I warned numerous times, I was very outspoken about accountability issues on that board. As I warned repeatedly, one day that money will be harder to get, and then this uncollected money stuck out like a sore thumb. So that's another area to focus on. And again, the accountability issue is a good one and I would say that it has to do, and you will see for yourselves, as to how the board is organized. There is an executive committee that pretty much advances the executive director's agenda and makes recommendations to the full board, and its hard to get a lot of folks to speak out or question anything, because you risk the appearance of non-conformity in a public meeting. But that didn't stop me much. But when it comes to that, I hope you have better luck than I did.

Supervisor Whitlock - since when is non-conformity an issue that you didn't want before the public?

Mr. Porter - I think there's a tendency to want everything to seem like its running smoothly from the direction of administration of an agency. Now that's not me, that's what I've observed, that the suggestions are not wanted, keep everything nice and smooth. I've frayed a few loose ends on that board by raising up issues and I hope that you all are not afraid to continue in that tradition. But, again, you get one vote, so you can't really get the accountability that you're looking for through one vote. But I think that the balance is turning somewhat on that board and again my suggestion is that they do not need an executive committee. They should present their issues to the full board and have more of a level playing field and equal representation.

Supervisor Boothe - how many are on the executive committee?

Mr. Porter - there are approximately five, and one of the things that I had a real hard time with

Supervisor Boothe - who are they?

Mr. Porter - the Chair, the Vice Chair, the past Chair, head of Finance Committee, and another, I would say about five, one of the things I had a real hard time with was when they re-elect officers, the executive committee becomes the nominating committee and they nominate themselves. They continue to re-elect themselves, that's another reason that you don't get as equal representation as you should.

Supervisor Boothe - so the executive committee makes recommendations to the full board, and the full board votes on it, so that involves the full board. What about policy

manuals, is each committee following Roberts Rules of Order or is it pretty much whatever? I know in theory, a lot of information would deal with information that is protected under the Freedom of Information Act and not releaseable, but it doesn't sound like you are getting a lot of that type of information. So these meetings, is there any kind of order to them? When is the re-organizational meeting?

Mr. Porter - well, they just had it.

Supervisor Boothe - is there a policy manual that you followed?

Mr. Porter - pretty much, they have an agenda and

Supervisor Boothe - no I mean like a policy and procedure manual, for not only conduct of employees, but also the involvement of the board.

Mr. Porter - yes. Speaking of Roberts Rules, Roberts Rules was recently voted to eliminate the rules. They took up a new short form that someone found for small boards that doesn't follow really the discipline of the full set of rules. They call them Roberts Rules for Small Boards. I was having a problem with that too, I never thought the problem was with Roberts Rules, but how you use them.

Supervisor Boothe - who is the legal advisor for the board?

Mr. Porter - that's a good question too, its someone out of Roanoke. As I said, I never saw a problem with Roberts Rules, but how we used them and whether you allow enough time for debate, or whether you close off debate prematurely, that kind of thing. How you use them effectively, and I think that's another area to work on in that particular board. Keep in mind that the staff is excellent and the services are good. The question just becomes, issues related to the administration and interface with the board.

Supervisor Boothe - are there bylaws for the board?

Mr. Porter - yes there are bylaws, and they were just revised at my request. So one of the things that I was pushing for was to establish a nominating committee made up from the full board and is independent of the executive committee. And another one, not my idea, was to do away with Roberts Rules and do the shorter, abbreviated version. In the situations that confront that board, I think they need all of Roberts Rules that they can get.

Supervisor Belcher - we appreciate your service on the board and we appreciate your concern and consideration with the board. There is a long road yet to go.

Mr. Porter - as I said in the last round of budget cuts, there was about \$30,000 that they had to figure out what to do with. On the one hand, you let go of a consultant that you are using, on the other hand there were two people in this County that were involved in the sheltered

workshop that would be without services, so I always stand to put the money in the services first, and cut the non-essential educational things. But I was one vote, and what is one vote worth? Sometimes one vote or less.

Supervisor Boothe - we do appreciate your service and what you did. I feel like we will be talking. I think change is going to be slow and yes I do agree with your scenario, that three local government members is not going to make that big of an impact, but there are more re-appointments to come.

Mr. Porter - I will be available anytime you may need me. Do consider the idea of putting together some training for board members regardless of the boards that you put them on. Maybe the idea of having the members coming to speak to you on a regular basis, those are some things that you can do. I will be glad to help in any of these areas that you need me.

Supervisor Whitlock - even though its only three new members being put on by local government, they should be getting the idea that they have a problem, that it is being perceived and it needs to be corrected. And if they don't start getting the idea and making changes, then they can see more changes coming their way.

Mr. Porter - I made an exit speech at my last meeting and that's very close to what I said. Sometimes its like the Emperor's clothes, everyone else can see the problem but you can't. But the Executive Director is talking about retiring this year also and I think that's a dynamic involved in that.

Supervisor Ingram - do thank you for your service, not only today, but all the time, and thank you for standing up for Floyd County and its people, and thank you so much for the information today.

Mr. Porter - I think the balances will be shifting more towards 50/50 things. It will be interesting.

The Chairman next called for the Public Comment Period.

Mr. Dennis Anderson, Burks Fork District - I am here to make a few comments with regard to the Department of Forestry and what is going on in the County. I am asking for no money. Our recent planting season is completed, we sold 750,000 white pines, and hopefully they will do better this year with the rain that we've had. We are having a logger training, which is an effort to professionalize the forest industry. It's a spin-off of the forest industries program. Basically loggers will be coming, it's a voluntary thing, it is 18 hours. It will take place the last week of August, the first two-three weeks of September, and we will be doing training covering safety, OSHA, proper harvesting techniques, wildlife, forestry operations, highways, etc. We are charged by State statute, the Department of Forestry, with enforcing the State water quality law, which basically is to reduce sedimentation and erosion. To improve their practices as well as their public image. I looked in the record before I came over, in the last six months, we had 26

new logging operations, so that average is one a week. The brown leaves that you are seeing on the ends of trees are the result of cicada damage. The female lays her eggs, the eggs hatch and fall to the ground, they burrow in and stay for the next 17 years. We, as Forestry, are encouraging conservation easements with the concern that if land is continued to be urbanized as it has in the past, which Virginia is averaging about 20,000 acres per year, that there will be no more forests to manage, harvest or for other benefits. There was a meeting over at the high school, it was a convoluted type of thing, because there are a lot of different people that hold conservation easements. The State sometimes gets them from other conservation agencies, or private organizations that encourage conservation easements, but they don't want to be involved with actually holding it or forcing it. There are a couple locally, the Western Virginia Land Trust, and the Virginia Outdoors Foundation, which is a State agency. We continue to have landowners for various reasons, that are interested in that program and pursue it privately. It is a very flexible thing, you can do what you want. We are involved basically for preservation of land. Some of the people who desire to obtain and hold conservation easements, want to stick in timber loggers, we much would rather allow in the initial agreement, the harvesting of timber at some time.

After no further comments from the audience, the Chairman declared the Public Comment Period closed.

Mr. Nester presented a slide program on Floyd County that is used for economic development purposes - for the Board's information and suggestions.

Agenda Item 8a - Subdivision plats as approved by Agent for June 2003 - Mr. Nester reported that 21 new lots were created.

Agenda Item 8b - Proposed Resolution Authorizing a Contingency Plan for Short Term Borrowing - Mr. Nester requested that this be deferred for discussion with the County Attorney.

Agenda Item 8c - Request from Woody Crenshaw - abandon portion of unused right-of-way on Parkview Road. Mr. Nester reported that the water main is in the right-of-way. Mr. Crenshaw would still like the obtain the easement. He will dedicate a perpetual easement to the PSA for the water main. He also offered deeding a portion of the road back to the County to get rid of the sharp angle of the road. His biggest concern was accessing his property along the entire length of the property line. The Board deferred the matter for further discussion with the County Attorney.

Agenda Item 8d - Courthouse Grounds Use Policy. Mr. Nester presented a copy for the Board's consideration and reported that the Judge had reviewed the document and approved it.

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and carried, it was resolved to adopt the Courthouse Grounds Use Policy as presented (Document File Number).

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - nay
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Mr. Bob Beasley, Assistant Resident Highway Engineer, and Mr. Burlin Hill, Contracts Administrator, VDoT, appeared before the Board.

Mr. Beasley presented an approved, final copy of the 6-year road plan. He also presented copies of a booklet entitled "A History of Roads in Virginia" for the Board's information.

Mr. Beasley - Route 638 - .4 in Floyd County, .6 in Franklin County. They are looking at the road for the rural rustic road program. There has been a lot of opposition because the people don't want it paved. I will attend the meeting with the residents and let you know what happens.

Supervisor Boothe - when will the 6-year plan public hearing be held?

Mr. Beasley - this Fall. We usually do it in November or December, but we have been encouraged by Richmond to do it a little earlier, maybe in September or October.

Supervisor Boothe - appreciate the attention to the slide on Route 705. On Route 810 - filling/patching was done, but it needs a little more attention, there are still potholes. With the State budget cuts, will we be seeing less of the Bartlett trucks taking care of the growth along the roads?

Mr. Beasley - yes, they will be doing less work. If you have specific sites, bring them to our attention and we will take care of them. It is purely a funding issue.

Supervisor Belcher - Route 780, culvert is caving in on the ends. Route 799, from 727 to 805, has been patched but there are still a number of potholes. Also appreciate all the mowing.

Supervisor Whitlock - Route 793 - safety project, is it still in the plan and when will it be done?

Mr. Beasley - I will check on it.

Supervisor Whitlock - Route 680, culvert needs some work. I called the Check office but they couldn't give me any information. Route 681 - where bridge is scheduled to be re-done. There is a fence post there but no red tape on it. I don't know if the culvert has rotted off on the end or what. I talked to the Check office about it yesterday. Appreciate the mowing that you are doing. Route 677 - found out that Montgomery County put the road back on their plan. Will have to be coordinated between the two Counties. I think they are steering it toward the Rural Rustic Road program.

Supervisor Gerald - Route 754/622 intersection where you cut down the bank, there is a

pothole right at the edge of the pavement in the curve, trucks are breaking off the edge of the pavement. Route 754 between 751/655 - curve is slanted, and pavement is breaking off over the culvert. The culvert is broken also. Route 619, ½ mile West of 787, sinkholes (not potholes) need to be fixed. Route 655, where bank is being cut down, trees have been cut down, when will this be finished? It looks unfinished.

Mr. Beasley - will check on it, they can clear it and grub it, but it has to be done within 15 days or they have to seed it.

Supervisor Ingram - appreciate all the work that has been done with the rain, especially shaping up the gravel roads.

Agenda Item 8e - Report - School Construction Project. Mr. Nester reported that a pre-construction conference will be held at 9:00 a.m. on July 10. Also, on July 15, will be meeting with AEP at Indian Valley School to determine what is required for 3-phase power, and also to obtain a cost estimate.

Agenda Item 8f - Application to Virginia Tobacco Commission - Incubator Project. November 2003 due date for application. This application would be for the incubator project for the Donnkenney building. The application to CDBG was denied. Most of the work is already completed if the Board is interested in applying to the Tobacco Commission.

Agenda Item 8g - Report - Department of Emergency Management Grant Approval in the amount of \$28,836.00. Mr. Nester reported that this grant was received for homeland security measures. The grant award is done by a formula of \$20,000 per community plus \$0.627/per capita. Can be used for personal protective equipment, decontamination equipment, monitoring equipment, communications (such as for inter-jurisdictional communications).

Agenda Item 8h - Resolution - Forestry Impact on Floyd County. Mr. Nester presented a proposed resolution outlining the significance of the forestry industry on Floyd County and our appreciation of their protection of our forests.

On a motion of Supervisor Gerald, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, it was resolved to adopt the resolution as presented concerning the forestry impact on Floyd County (Document File Number).

Supervisor Boothe questioned if the motion makers would be willing to leave out the third paragraph relating to Christmas trees and nursery stock? Don't feel that these industries are protecting our forest land.

Supervisor Gerald - I don't see any problem with them being in the resolution.

Supervisor Boothe - nay
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Supervisor Boothe - the only reason I voted in opposition is because of the third paragraphs. I don't feel they are protecting our resources, the rest of the resolution is fine.

Agenda Item 8i - Report - Department Criminal Justice Services Grant - \$10,000 - Mr. Nester reported that this grant is for juvenile in-home detention. This program would keep the juveniles away from the public and in their homes where they can be monitored. This greatly reduces the costs from having to house them in some type of facility.

Mr. Nester reported that the TNT carport bill for Station #2 fire was a duplicate copy. There was only one carport purchased at \$1920.00.

Dr. Terry Arbogast, School Superintendent, next appeared before the Board. He reported on several items:

- School Board meeting highlights of June 26, 2003;
- July 10, 2003, 9:00 a.m., pre-construction meeting with contractors;
- Presented year-end financial report;
- School Board does not meet in July;
- School Board will have a long-range planning meeting tomorrow.

Supervisor Boothe - any further information on the "No Child Left Behind" program application?

Dr. Arbogast - this is the first step of the program. Each school must submit a separate application or a consolidated application. We did the consolidated application. That is all we have to do at this point.

Supervisor Gerald - I would like to see the 3-phase power done at Indian Valley school unless the price is astronomical.

Dr. Arbogast - there is no question that we will do it. We are just trying to get the cost down some. We have to do it to run the system that we are putting in.

The Board recessed for lunch.

Mr. John Rife, County Attorney, next appeared before the Board.

Agenda Item 7 f I) - Manufactured Home Park Ordinance. Our staff was not able to attend the Planning Commission meeting, so I'm not sure what happened.

Mr. Gerald reported that several members and staff were out of town, so the meeting was

not held.

Supervisor Boothe questioned the new house bill 821 adopted July 1 and its relation to our recently adopted ordinance denying pre-1976 mobile homes being brought into the County. Mr. Rife commented that he would check into the matter.

Mr. Nester commented that the Building Official was questioned if axles are removed from pre-1976 homes, and it is a fixed structure, would the pre-1976 criteria still apply since it would be real estate instead of personal property.

Mr. Rife stated that he would check the statutes.

Agenda Item 7f ii) - County codification of ordinances. Recent ordinances adopted by the Board have been submitted to Municipal Code Corporation to be incorporated into the copies. Will probably be 6-8 weeks before the copies are received.

Agenda Item 7f iii) - Dominion - Greenbrier Pipeline Report - have not heard anything from them.

Agenda Item 8b - Borrowing from Fire/Rescue Fund to General Fund. Mr. Rife stated that he would need to check further on this matter. One concern that he had was that the funds be separated from other funds, and if they can be used for other purposes besides fire/rescue.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to go into closed session under Section 2.2-3711, Paragraph A.1, discussion of personnel; Paragraph A.3, acquisition of real property for public purposes or the disposition of government owned property where public discussion would jeopardize the County's bargaining or negotiating position; Paragraph A.7, consultation with legal counsel regarding specific legal matters that require legal advice.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to come out of closed session.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Gerald, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously

carried, it was resolved to adopt the following resolution:

**CERTIFICATION RESOLUTION
CLOSED MEETING**

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote on the motion to close the meeting to discuss personnel, property and legal matters in accordance with Section 2.2-3711, Paragraph A.1, A.3 and A.7 of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

Before a vote is taken on this resolution, is there any member who believes that there was a departure from the requirements of number (1) or number (2)? If so, identify yourself and state the substance of the matter and why in your judgement it was a departure.

Hearing no statement, I call the question.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

This Certification Resolution was adopted.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to grant the County Administrator an additional half-day of annual leave per month as an unclassified employee.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to authorize the County Administrator to release the press release concerning the Dominion Pipeline as presented (Document File Number _____).

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and carried, it was resolved to authorize the County Administrator to write a letter to Kenneth King concerning the right-of-way along Parkview Road, with a 90-day time limit to respond.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - nay
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to authorize the County Administrator to advertise for a change of the regular August Board meeting to August 19, 2003 at 8:30 a.m.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye