

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
ADJOURNED MEETING
JUNE 28, 2006**

At an adjourned meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Floyd County, Virginia, held on Wednesday, June 28, 2006 at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the County Administration Building, thereof;

PRESENT: David W. Ingram, Chairman; J. Fred Gerald, Vice Chairman; Diane B. Belcher, Jerry W. Boothe, Kerry W. Whitlock, Board Members; Terri W. Morris, Acting County Administrator.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

Mr. Roger Hollandsworth and Mr. Kelcie Bower, Floyd County Rescue Squad, appeared before the Board.

Mr. Bower - the Board's committee met with our building committee and they voiced what you all wanted. We took it back to our crew, they told us we could go ahead and proceed with Station #4. Well, we can do Station #4 but we can't do Station #1, which is why we asked for help. We took it back to the crew, asking about the co-deeding of Station #1 Rescue, and it didn't go over. The motion was made to co-deed it, but the motion died for lack of a second. I called for a second three times, nobody seconded it. That's where we stand on that.

Supervisor Whitlock - was there any opposition expressed and what kind of opposition was expressed?

Mr. Bower - well they thought as much time and money that we had to spend for this one, we couldn't spend any more. They want to see Station #4 built, but they would like to see this one built at the same time. But they just couldn't see giving up half of what was already there, the value of it of what we get back. We had all the members there except four, the Board of Trustees, everybody was there.

Mr. Hollandsworth - we sent a letter out to all of our members and wanted input from as many people as we possibly could. We didn't want anybody saying well, we didn't have a chance to voice our opinion or whatever. We sent letters out to everyone, had it on the pagers for four consecutive nights, to try to get as much input into all of it as we possibly could. This is a major expense to build a building at Station #4 and it's a major expense to do the work at Station #1, so we wanted everybody's input into it.

Mr. Bower - we invited everybody, even the young people that couldn't vote to get their

input.

Supervisor Whitlock - if you just took the land. The land was donated to the Squad to build the building to start with. The appreciation on the land is not really yours or ours either one. The building itself is the only thing that I think should be considered as your asset growth-wise, as long it stays a volunteer building. If you turn around and sell it, then the land becomes part of it. If we put a building there of what you have proposed to build, you're talking about a figure somewhere in the neighborhood of about \$400,000, what do you value the building that you already have there?

Mr. Hollandsworth - the value, I've had an appraisal now, as it is, its right at \$800,000 with the land and building. The land would be valued roughly around \$100,000, which he said was conservatively low. Just outside of Town, the Dollar General land was less than an acre and it sold for \$105,000, we have 2.7 acres. He said it was low, just with the justification of the other lot selling for \$105,000.

Supervisor Boothe - you could have \$350,000 in the land value.

Mr. Bower - besides the land that was donated to us, we have bought another half acre beside of and right behind us.

Mr. Hollandsworth - the meeting that night, we had a very attentive bunch. We did something that we'd never done before, we passed out blank sheets of paper and just asked everybody to write down their questions and wait for us to finish our presentation before they asked any questions because in the presentation we might answer some of those questions. When you get 30-40 people together and everybody's trying to butt in and ask questions so we handed them a blank white sheet of paper and said write the questions down. They listed them, and we had a lot of feedback all the way around. That's pretty much our finding based on what the committee asked us to go back to our general membership to ask. That's pretty much what we have to offer back to you all tonight. Originally, the building they were hoping to get a 20 year life span out of that building. The building was completed in 1978, and we got 20 years in 1998 and have gone past that. We just need the room with everything that is going on. We're not looking to do something that's just going to last 3 or 4 years, we're looking, hopefully, for this addition to be able to get by with another 15-20 years. That's what we're hoping for and working toward with your help and the citizens' help.

Chairman Ingram - what kind of proposal do you all have for us?

Mr. Hollandsworth - we came back with an answer to what the committee asked of us.

Supervisor Whitlock - you came back with an answer, but you can come back with an alternate proposal. That one thing there is right much of a sticking point with me.

Mr. Hollandsworth - you all made that quite clear in the committee meeting.

Supervisor Whitlock - its just good business. You don't normally go out here and build a building on a piece of property that you don't have some way of rationalizing it. Actually, you're asking for a \$400,000 donation.

Supervisor Boothe - ever since we entered into this agreement, every citizen who has questioned me personally about it, and have come to realize since day 1, equipment and anything else associated with it, has been put in the Rescue Squad's name. Citizens were of the opinion that things were in our name. Ever since then, and I make exclusions for the Veterans and those who are fussing about where they're transported, have been absolutely livid because none of the equipment is in the County's name to begin with, when the County started putting money into the Rescue Squad. With the agreement, and I'm only speaking for myself, without the 50/50 deed, I can sit here and justify to my constituents to add the showers and birthing bays, I think I can sell that with the people I represent who have been in contact with me. If it goes much further than that, I would have to go back to the 50/50. I don't know what portion of the total that would come to, because I know that's necessary, which in fact its been needed for years. The incident at Check really brought it out and brought it to my attention. And you explained to me one day that scene, and I never really thought about it, that there wasn't a decent place to clean up after a call. You either do the best you can and climb in your vehicle and go home. That's not right.

Supervisor Whitlock - in this day and time that's not acceptable because of some of the blood pathogens.

Supervisor Boothe - irregardless of that, its something that we should have thought of years ago at all the stations, not just Station 1. I'm just letting you know where I'm coming from on that 50/50. They were just all over me.

Mr. Hollandsworth - one thing that I hope you explained to them was that the ambulances that are being purchased now are being titled jointly.

Supervisor Boothe - when we entered into this contract and they started asking questions about the contract, and I explained the terms of the contract, that's when they starting asking why the equipment wasn't in the County's name before. They will go off on me in disbelief. I told them I wasn't on the Board then and didn't know what happened. None of us were here in the very beginning and don't know the circumstances. I'm just telling them that in the agreement, the equipment is 50/50. They seem satisfied with that. I really think that if we invest a large dollar amount to satisfy these citizens, its going to have to be 50/50 on the building. I'm just explaining where I'm coming from because we need to be straight with each other.

Mr. Hollandsworth - and I think if you take a balanced scale, and with what the property's valued, you say its worth \$800,000, and you look at \$400,000, you don't have a balanced scale with the co-deed situation. I feel like that's where our members are coming from. I myself look at it from two different standpoints. I look at it from the practical standpoint and from the business standpoint. From the practical standpoint, it makes a lot of sense. But from a

business standpoint it doesn't.

Supervisor Boothe - I understand their position 100%, but I just want you two gentlemen to understand where I'm coming from. I have close to 3000 people that I have to answer to. Like I said, I have no problem myself, if we have to borrow the money or whatever we do, for the shower bays and birthing bays. But just going much past that, I have got to get back to the 50/50 or some type of common ground.

Mr. Hollandsworth - and that's basically what the contract says, it says that when we come to the point to do this, that there will be an agreement on percentages, or whatever. We're basically at the situation with Station #4 in Indian Valley, that you can't walk between the two vehicles. Your committee experienced it the day we went up there and did a walk around. The two ambulances are backed in bumper to bumper and then you can't walk in front of or behind them, or between them. With the growing pains with the fire service too, you all had to add on a bay there, we're trying to look at another 15-20 years down the road. Like I said, that project got started pretty quick. One thing that I would like to touch on also, when this contract was being negotiated, Mr. Nester and Ford had plans for an addition at that time, before the contract was even started. I think they looked at the electrical and things like that, and with the right-of-ways and whatever, we couldn't do that. They were wanting to extend the building on the parking lot side, which was not practical, and they got into problems with right-of-ways and so forth like that. So that was kind of in the works before the contract ever got signed. Once the contract got signed, we're a year and a half into it, and if Kelcie hadn't retired, we wouldn't be here now. I honestly think that. If he hadn't retired and couldn't dedicate as much time as he had, we wouldn't be here. That was in the works before the contract was done. I don't know who acted on it, you all or staff, but they came down with a drawing and laid them out.

Supervisor Whitlock - that was some of our planning stage when we were thinking about going to paid staff and that kind of conversion, because we knew we would need the space. But as usual, the budget crunch came in and all those kinds of things. In the schematic that you've drawn up, you've drawn it up in such a fashion that you want it done this way. If you do it in the phase approach that Jerry's talking about, where you do birthing bays and decontamination bays, one bay underneath moved out so you have an actual decontamination bay. You don't want the people to go into the showers that they're going to be using all the time, to be decontaminated. You want decontamination done in one spot, so that's the only spot you've got to clean up and worry about and make sure that you're not spreading it anywhere else, whatever pathogen that you're dealing with. Then go from decontamination to another area to wash off. Also, in the schematic the way you've got it drawn up, you have it drawn in such a fashion that if you put showers and birthing bays and office space, that you'll need separately, and one or two bays underneath, you need to come in a phase situation of well, if we do this much, what will it cost us? And if we do this much more, what will it cost, do it in a 3-phase drawing. Understand what I'm talking about?

Mr. Hollandsworth - so what you're saying is take basically what we have now and divide it into thirds.

Supervisor Whitlock - yes, develop what you need now and see if you can work with it.

Mr. Hollandsworth - I'll say that we're looking at 20 years down the road as opposed to 15 months down the road. And that's the thinking of the general membership, we don't want a continuous construction project going on. We want to do it where something will last 20 years.

Supervisor Whitlock - the thing of it is we have to convince Jerry's public and my public of some of the ones that are skeptical of the project, as to what they own and what they're paying for.

Mr. Hollandsworth - I'll say this, I would love to talk to the people that Jerry's talking to. I'm in Jerry's district and I would love to talk to these same people and give them a different perspective as to a Squad person, as someone who's been on the Squad since 1979. I'd love to talk to these people and get them to look at the aspect as to where we're coming from.

Supervisor Whitlock - we're not opposed to the project. The thing of it is that we want to do it in such a fashion that the public is satisfied with it.

Mr. Hollandsworth - I would say this too. The other afternoon Jerry's son had a wreck, I'm sure Jerry was pleased that the response got there as quickly as it did. And it did that day. The tone went off and they were right there. It was a good situation, he told us that he wasn't hurt and I don't think he was. To some of these people that really need our services, they don't realize what we bring to them. We're people that you never want to see, but when you need us, you want to see us. It's the same with the Fire Department or Sheriff's Office, these people are always out there and you hope that you never have to use them, but if you do, you want them there right then.

Supervisor Boothe - and I have emphasized that with people.

Mr. Bower - we had four calls today within about an hour.

Mr. Hollandsworth - about 45 minutes, we had four calls going on at the same time.

Supervisor Belcher - I want to thank you for bringing us the information. We're going to need some time to look at all the information. I feel that we can all work together and come to a compromise. It will take time and we're all going to have to be patient. I know we can work together, its worked before and its worked for many years, and it will continue to work. We can do this, we just have to figure out what will work for everybody in the best interest of everybody, which is not always easy.

Mr. Hollandsworth - I'll give you a prime example. Like the communication center, how often are you re-doing something there, every 15 months that I was referring to? We don't want a 15 month project there in three different stages. That's a perfect example. Seems like every time you turn around you're having to do something different there. We're looking at a 15-20

year plan. Just like Indian Valley, we're not looking at one bay, one kitchen, we're looking at using that building for the next 15-20 years, as opposed to when we have money adding on another bay and then 2-3 years adding on another bay. We're looking at a total project as opposed to sections and that's the general consensus of the Squad. Probably some of this talking should have been done before we signed the contract. That was the realistic and proper time to talk about it and we're a year and a half basically behind when we brought the paid people into the building. The demands will only get greater, our folks are getting older. All of us have children that are high school age, I'd love to get my son back here if there was anything to do.

Chairman Ingram - the bottom line here is dollars. I know that you don't know exactly, I'm not asking for an exact figure. With the latest project at Indian Valley, you got started, you got your plans and you're actually working on that project, I understand.

Mr. Hollandsworth - let me give you a little headway. We got approval to do it, we got final cost figures today, we're ready to go on. We have so much money to put toward it, and the rest we will have to borrow. That gives you some idea.

Mr. Bower - some of the stuff has been donated.

Chairman Ingram - I'm trying to get to what figure we need to consider.

Mr. Hollandsworth - Station #3 we built with the money we had in our Treasury and now we're proceeding on with Station #4 in the same manner.

Supervisor Boothe - one way or the other, you all have Station #4 covered. Station #1, if any of it, is covered?

Mr. Hollandsworth - Station #4 is covered.

Supervisor Boothe - will you all have to borrow your portion to do Station #1 vs. what we put in, or are you looking at us to do the entire station, what are your plans?

Mr. Hollandsworth - with what we do at Station #4, we will have very little money left in order to do anything at Station #1.

Supervisor Boothe - so basically we're looking at borrowing it, or taking it out of Fire and Rescue, we're looking at covering Station #1.

Mr. Hollandsworth - if that's something that we can agree on, or the percentages that we agree on. If it came down to 90/10, 80/20, 70/30, whatever our split is, we would have to borrow that.

Supervisor Boothe - that's what I was getting at. You don't have anything set aside, in other words, its taking everything you have to do Station #4.

Mr. Bower - plus we'll have to borrow some.

Supervisor Whitlock - are you going to have enough coming in to cover what you borrow?

Mr. Hollandsworth - that may be a problem unless we get operating money from you all. The reason that I say that, back when we were talking about the operating budget, we requested \$180,000, you all finally approved \$129,000. What you were looking at, the balance sheets that I give Terri, you all look at them, we have general donations, letter campaign coming in with \$50,000 some per year. So you're thinking \$130,000 plus \$50,000, so we'll basically have that with combining the two. What we were hoping to do was to use that \$50,000-60,000 toward these building projects which we won't be able to do now because of the gap in the budget as to what you all funded.

Supervisor Belcher - it was an operating budget, to operate at the level you are. We're looking at two different pictures here now vs. what we looked at during budget time. Not that it would have changed anything since that's an operating budget balance sheet, which is what we requested. I just wanted to make that clear, we'll work with you on what we can. It exactly was what you said.

Mr. Hollandsworth - when we go back and look at the contract and it says that you will try to fund as much money as you can for our operating budget, and that was totally all operating, no capital improvements or outlays as far as that goes.

Supervisor Whitlock - but now since the dollars that you had will become part of your debt service next year. The \$60,000 difference between the \$130,000 and \$180,000 is actually what you were going to use for debt service.

Mr. Hollandsworth - no because it will have to go back into our daily operating budget if we stay at the \$180,000 figure. We'll have to go back in our budget and say if we're going to maintain this \$180,000 figure, the money we have coming in from general donations and the letter campaign, etc. will have to go to offset that money there. It will not be able to go to debt service unless we decrease our operating budget by that \$50,000-60,000.

Supervisor Boothe - or its increased by that amount.

Mr. Hollandsworth - we'll be honest with you. We don't have any idea what will happen since we've gone to paid, as far as our donations. We hope it doesn't affect us, but a lot of the people, probably 95%, we've never hauled these people. It might even be higher than that. The people don't want to see us, but want to know we're there. This will have to go back to our budget committee as to how we're going to handle it.

Chairman Ingram - I'm just trying to get to a number, like the \$400,000 that we talked

about. Just use that for an example, it might be more or less. What I'm trying to get to is what the Squad can do. If the Squad doesn't want to do the co-ownership, the County might be able to help with some of the cost, but probably not all of it. I'm not questioning your ideas as to what you need to do down there, I'm not questioning that at all. If your Board and your membership does not agree to some type of a joint membership of some type, do you hear what I'm saying, some type of joint membership, I'm trying to open some doors here, hopefully we can help in some way but I doubt we can do the whole thing. If there was some type of co-ownership, we could probably justify doing more.

Mr. Hollandsworth - let me summarize for you - co-deeding would probably get more money.

Mr. Bower - if its co-deeded, its going to cost more money because with the County on the deed, it has to go out for full architectural drawings, the percentage they charge.

Chairman Ingram - if its co-deeded after the fact it wouldn't, and if just made a donation to the Squad, it wouldn't cost a bit more, there's a whole lot of ways to do things. I just want you to know that we have been talking and thinking about this, we have, for months.

Mr. Hollandsworth - we don't know what it looks like from your side of the table.

Supervisor Whitlock - and we haven't been on your side either. You weren't reading between the lines too good the other day when I was telling you a lot of stuff.

Mr. Hollandsworth - I had to bring back to the general membership exactly as it was presented to me. I read it loud and clear. We're ready to get started.

Chairman Ingram - I don't think the Board is going to sit here tonight and tell you that we're going to do this, this and this or they're not willing to do this, this, or this. I think we have plenty of room here to talk and work something out. I heard that you want to try to get this thing moving forward, but if you want us to help you, you may have to slow down just a little bit. And I'm not trying to hold it up or drag it out, I'm speaking for myself and not the Board. But I do want you to understand that we have to be responsible to the people who put us here just like you have to do the same in your organization and I hope you understand that. We need to do some talking here among ourselves to see what we can do with the different options, that would work for us and for you too.

Mr. Hollandsworth - one thing I wanted to mention, when we were here a couple of weeks ago, we thought we were going into executive session where we could talk a little bit more freely than in the general meeting. We really got caught with our pants down because I was ready to say ok, look guys, this is what we have. But then we go in there and the gentleman says you can't talk any numbers. I said well, I know your side of the table is going to ask numbers because that's where their concern is.

Mr. Bower - that's why we hesitated when you all asked for the costs.

Mr. Hollandsworth - George told me we could talk numbers and then the attorney said we couldn't. I wanted to talk numbers because that's why we're here. One thing, Kelcie and I have done a lot of fact gathering, because we can't have 10-15 people out here trying to gather facts on our end because everybody has different ideas. Some of these people you don't want doing this. We have to handle it in a professional manner, without getting into a shouting match with you all, or anything like that. The other night, when we started the meeting, Kelcie told the membership that we weren't making any decisions, just gathering facts, and bringing it back to them. That's the information that we brought back to your committee also.

Supervisor Boothe - they pretty much agreed to the construction plans at Station #1 per se?

Mr. Hollandsworth - they've seen the drawings just like you have. That was the first set of drawings based on the ideas from our general membership.

Supervisor Boothe - you didn't have a majority screaming no.

Mr. Hollandsworth - these were ideas that were brought before Kelcie and myself that we think we need this, this, this and that.

Supervisor Boothe - do you think you are far enough along, since you've had your meetings and they've had the opportunity to see the plans and have some input, are you far enough along that you could get some concrete numbers based on those drawings?

Mr. Bower - we are in the process of doing that right. There were a few changes, like changes in the floor, and adding a generator. We changed those two items. These are for Station #4.

Supervisor Boothe - I'm talking about Station #1.

Mr. Bower - no, for Station #1, we have no concrete figures on it. Since all this came up, we just sort of stopped until we figure out something.

Supervisor Boothe - would you be comfortable doing that on what you have? I know it may change, but it would give us a little better idea as to what to do.

Mr. Hollandsworth - the \$400,000 figure would work.

Supervisor Boothe - you're comfortable with that number?

Mr. Hollandsworth - yes, I think so. The plans that you all saw had cost figures with it, and we'll need to fine-tune it a little bit, but I feel yes, that number is good.

Supervisor Boothe - for me, from what I saw, but its been a while since I've looked at a set of plans, I only look at floors, so bear with me. One of the biggest expenses involved in this is going to be the decontamination area. That area, will you have to have a catch basin where you actually transport that somewhere else?

Mr. Bower - what is required is like a septic tank with no drain.

Supervisor Boothe - and it would be pumped and hauled to a facility?

Mr. Bower - if it ever gets full, yes.

Mr. Hollandsworth - that would be in the existing structure.

Supervisor Boothe - but its still part of your construction plan, right?

Mr. Hollandsworth - right, it would be done at the same time.

Supervisor Boothe - and its in the figure that we're talking about?

Mr. Hollandsworth - the figures for that has not been added into that. The \$400,000 is for the new structure and we should have room to do the renovations for the existing structure as far as the decontamination area and handicapped facilities.

Supervisor Whitlock - do they make a modular unit that you could use for the decontamination area?

Mr. Hollandsworth - I don't know.

Supervisor Boothe - realistically, and I'm not familiar with everything that comes along as far as the decontamination goes, but I can see somebody somewhere cha-chinging real good. Realistically, the total package we're talking about would be between \$400,00-500,000 with the decontamination area, the handicapped restroom access, and depending on what you have to do with the septic tank, that's fine, as long as its sealed and has an access where it can be pumped, that's an expense down the road, the pumping part, to find a facility to take that.

Mr. Bower - decontamination is not something you do every day, so it will not be a big expense.

Supervisor Boothe - right, but it has to be sealed where nothing, odors or contaminants, can get out into the area, but I don't know the regulations on how it has to be sealed and all that, that's something that we need to look at. On the high end, we could be talking about closer to \$500,000 with the renovations to the existing and the construction, possibly.

Mr. Hollandsworth - possibly, but I don't think it would be that high.

Supervisor Boothe - I know that once we get into it, we'll fluctuate one way or the other.

Mr. Hollandsworth - in some of the renovations to the existing structure, that comes about from that being a voting precinct also, where we needed handicapped facilities and doors. There's some things we have to look at just because of the voting precinct.

Supervisor Boothe - one thing we should check into is the Electoral Board looking to see if there is any funds available, since it's a voting precinct, there may be grant funds to help with some of that. That's another area we can check to help. Or they might blow it out of proportion and want a whole new building themselves. I'm looking more at using the \$500,000 figure because of the unknowns.

Mr. Hollandsworth - you were talking about flooring. We just put ceramic tile in our big meeting room at Station #1. The carpet wears out, it gets blood on it, and we can't get it out. Just for Station #4, to go from carpet to ceramic floor, it was \$13,444 more.

Chairman Ingram - \$400,000-450,000 as far as ballpark?

Mr. Hollandsworth - I think so. Station #4, we're looking at putting \$200,000-250,000 cash into that, and with the balance being financed.

Supervisor Belcher - what was the total cost of that project?

Mr. Hollandsworth - we're looking at right at \$400,000 up there. That's the building, paving, furnishings, etc., etc.

Chairman Ingram - we're not trying to hold you all up, we just need a little time to think about it. I'm sure something can be worked out for the good of all the people, that's what we all want to do. We appreciate all that you all do, not just tonight and for this project, but all the time.

Agenda Item 3 - Approval of FY06 year-end disbursements.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the FY06 year-end disbursements, as presented.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 4 - Approval of carry-over requests to the FY07 budget. Mrs. Morris presented a list of carry-over requests and accompanying information to the Board for review

and consideration.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the following carry-over requests: \$14,188.55 to Sheriff's Office, Drug Seizure Funds; \$19,954.59 to Sheriff's Office, Domestic Violence Grant Funds; \$8080.00 to Sheriff's Office, Fraud Reduction Funds; \$2967.50 to Emergency Services for Homeland Security Grant Funds; \$2188.34 to Fire Department for State Fire Program Funds.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the carry-over of \$52,500 from the FY06 Solid Waste budget to the FY07 Solid Waste budget for a backhoe purchase/replacement.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 5 - Approval of FY06 year-end transfers. Mrs. Morris presented a list for the Board's consideration of year-end transfers for departments who had gone over budget. An accompanying informational sheet was also presented indicating reasons for the overages.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and carried, it was resolved to approve the FY06 year-end transfers as presented.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - nay
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 6 - Proposed Resolution - Mountain Empire Services of the Southwest. Mrs. Morris reported that the Board should not have approved the resolution earlier in the month for this financing, until the IDA had held the public hearing. The previous motion for approval of the resolution needs to be rescinded and a new motion considered. Also, through research of this matter, legal counsel found that some of the IDA terms had gotten out of order. The proposed resolution will correct those terms to be in compliance with State law.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and carried, it was resolved to re-consider action of June 13, 2006 concerning approval of a resolution for IDA financing for Mountain Empire Services of the Southwest, Inc.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - nay
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - nay
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and carried, it was resolved to rescind the previous action of June 13, 2006 concerning approval of a resolution for IDA financing for Mountain Empire Services of the Southwest, Inc.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - nay
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and carried, it was resolved to approve the resolution as presented, for IDA financing for Mountain Empire Services of the Southwest, Inc. (Document File Number).

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - nay
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - nay
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 7 - Request - Waiver from Solid Waste Ordinance - Mrs. Morris presented a request from Across the Way Productions for a waiver to allow trash collected from Wine Down the Music Trail and Floyd Fest, to be deposited at the Floyd County Transfer Station. Waste Management would be taking care of the hauling of the trash, and usually take it to North Carolina for disposal. Across the Way would like to bring the trash into Floyd County and pay the related tipping fees to the County. A representative from Across the Way Productions will be at the July 11 meeting to discuss the issue with the Board. Consensus of the Board was to wait for the presentation at the July 11 meeting before a decision is made.

Agenda Item 8 - Financing options for purchase of brush trucks for Floyd County Volunteer Fire Department. Mrs. Morris presented financing options received from three banking institutions, outlining the various rates and times available.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the purchase of four brush trucks, from low bids from Duncan Automotive and Vest's Sales and Services; and accept the bid from Blue Ridge Bank for financing options for a seven year term.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 9 - Recommendation - to Floyd County Volunteer Fire Department - 45 mph speed limit be included in the Department's Standard Operating Procedures. Mrs. Morris recommended that the Board consider the speed limit restriction as a suggestion to the Fire Department instead of a requirement as had been discussed at earlier meetings. Consensus of the Board was for this suggestion to be relayed to the Fire Department by letter from the Acting County Administrator.

Agenda Item 10 - Proposals for audit for FY07, FY08, FY09 - Mrs. Morris presented the hourly rates for various staff members from each firm, as requested by the Board. Consensus of the Board was to schedule the three firms for the July 11 meeting to present their qualifications.

Agenda Item 11 and 12 - Mrs. Morris reminded the Board of the upcoming LGOC and VACo meetings and requested that the office be contacted if there is interest in attending.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to go into closed session under Section 2.2-3711, Paragraph A.1, discussion of specific personnel.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to come out of closed session.

Supervisor Boothe - aye
Supervisor Belcher - aye
Supervisor Whitlock - aye
Supervisor Gerald - aye
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adopt the following certification resolution:

**CERTIFICATION RESOLUTION
CLOSED MEETING**

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote on the motion to close the meeting to discuss personnel, in accordance with Section 2.2-3711, Paragraph A.1, of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

Before a vote is taken on this resolution, is there any member who believes that there was a departure from the requirements of number (1) or number (2)? If so, identify yourself and state the substance of the matter and why in your judgement it was a departure.

Hearing no statement, I call the question.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - aye

This Certification Resolution was adopted.

On a motion of Supervisor Gerald, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn.

Supervisor Boothe - aye

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - aye

Supervisor Gerald - aye

Supervisor Ingram - aye