

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
REGULAR MEETING  
FEBRUARY 13, 2007**

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Floyd County, Virginia, held on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 at 8:30 a.m. in the Board Room of the County Administration Building, thereof;

PRESENT: David W. Ingram, Chairman; Jerry W. Boothe, Vice Chairman; Diane B. Belcher, J. Fred Gerald, Kerry W. Whitlock, Board Members; Daniel J. Campbell, County Administrator; Terri W. Morris, Assistant County Administrator.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m. with the reading of the handicapping statement.

The Opening Prayer was led by Supervisor Gerald.

Chairman Ingram led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mrs. Deronda Thomas, Treasurer, appeared before the Board. She presented the invested cash as of February 13, 2007. She commented that the no-penalty cd's do not get quite the 5.20% as listed because we are not able to leave them long enough to get the full amount. We have two \$600,000 cd's to last for the next few months.

Mrs. Morris presented the balance sheet and revenue/expenditure summaries as of January 31, 2007. She reported that at 58% through the fiscal year, General Fund expenses are at 68% and revenue collections at 57%. In speaking with the auditors, they report that the draft audit will still be another couple of weeks.

Sheriff Shannon Zeman appeared before the Board. He reported:

- Want to thank you for the decision on the E911 Center. Mr. Campbell and I have met and looking forward to working on this situation.
- Monthly report has been presented to you earlier.
- Couple of things I want you to know about in the Dispatch and Kenny has been very helpful in getting that taken care of.
- We did receive an audit from Richmond, they came in and audited our criminal records, we had some security issues that Kenny also jumped in and helped us get fixed, and we thank you for that.
- I think I'd reported to you last month that we had a new hire in Dispatch, they are on board and working and we're back to full staff.

Mr. Wendell Peters, Clerk of Circuit Court, next appeared before the Board. He

reported:

- Thought you might be interested in seeing some of last year's results for the Clerk's office, in particular you might want to look at line 6, deeds recorded. You might recall a few years ago we exceeded 4000 land instruments being recorded, in 2006, we're down to almost 3100, it dropped 11% in 2005, which I thought was a significant number. You might also look at line 1, we're up 79% on that category for last year. Whenever we run out of work in one area, we pick it up somewhere else. Lines #2 and 3, in 2006, the legislature combined, so you need to look at those in combination to make a comparison. In 2006, it was up 22.5%. We do have a slew, for us, a bunch of jury trials set between now and June. We're keeping the Sheriff's Office busy serving papers to jurors. We don't want them to run out of work.
- We're in the process of looking at courtroom equipment. We've been to Franklin County and looked at a system they have. We invited the City of Radford to go with us, and they did go. We also looked at some equipment in Charlotte, North Carolina. We weren't sold on it, but it was something that was within our grasp with our limited funds. I do have some State funds to pay for courtroom equipment, but not as much as I need. So, Radford contacted another place in Charlottesville, and they came down and did a demo for us. I'd checked with Martinsville previously, they have this system, and it was out of my reach. But I thought I'd go see what was going on anyway. They appear to be trying to work with us, we think we'd like this system better and it would be within our grasp, and hopefully everything will work out there. I still want to complain about the PA system in the courtroom, I don't think its ever worked. But they tell us that we can use our court recording equipment through that system, under the same situation that we have now. Which is something that we've lived with for 2-3 years.
- The only other thing that I'm looking at is plats. Plats are still a problem. We've been to look at equipment in Wytheville from a company in Tennessee. That equipment would work for us, but a lot of other Counties are going with another system from a company in North Carolina, guy named Sutton. I believe in my budget for the year I have a plat cabinet, which I hate to keep spending money on cabinets. I believe I could take that plat cabinet money and put into a computer system, however, I'm still trying to see if I can get some grant money from the State to pay for the whole system. At this point, I've had no success but I'm still trying. If we could get some grant money, not only could we put in the plat system, but possibly I could back-scan my plats and have it all there. If not, assuming that you'd agree to switching the funds from a plat cabinet to a computer system, I'd probably have to put in the system and go from here forward and leave the old plats in the cabinets until I could do something else, which we can live with, its just not convenient.

Supervisor Boothe - the paper that you gave us, the concealed gun permits issued, are those one time issues or something that has to be renewed?

Mr. Peters - it has to be renewed every five years. This includes the originals and the renewals for this particular year.

Supervisor Boothe - so, apparently that's dropping off a little bit.

Mr. Peters - you run hot and cold. Some years, we have a lot of people come out. Another significant figure is the marriages. I like to issue all the marriage licenses that I can because that opens the door for more divorces, that's job security. We do get some marriage licenses, but right now the real run is on passports from out of the County. You may have read in the paper that there is a 3-week waiting period, by appointment only, in Roanoke and other places. Saturday mornings, that's about all we do is passports.

Mr. Gordon Hannett, Commonwealth's Attorney, next appeared before the Board. He had nothing to report this month, but was present to answer any questions from the Board.

The minutes of January 9 and January 31, 2007 were presented to the Board for review and consideration.

Supervisor Boothe questioned the action on page 16 of the January 9 minutes concerning the appointment to the Workforce Investment Board. Do not believe a vote was taken before the motion and second was withdrawn. Staff will check notes from the meeting.

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the minutes of January 9, 2007 as corrected, and January 31, 2007 as presented.

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

The monthly disbursements were presented to the Board for review and approval. A list of additional disbursements was also presented. Questions and discussion followed.

At 9:00 a.m., the Chairman called for the Public Comment Period.

After no comments from the audience, the Chairman declared the Public Comment Period closed.

Additional discussion and questions followed on the monthly disbursements.

Mr. John Paul Houston, Chairman of the Floyd County Electoral Board, next appeared before the Board. He reported: We wanted to update you on the voting machine storage issues that we talked to you about in December. We've talked with Sheriff Zeman and he's agreed. What we wanted to do was go into the little hallway back in the back and swap some space with the Sheriff's Office, and use that hallway for our Central Absentee Precinct, which would get us out of his office. Right now we use his office as a hallway to get into ours. Put a door back in and we have submitted a request with HAVA to see if we can come up with some grant money

for that, and we think we're going to be able to do that. So basically, all we need to do is put a door right back in where we used to have a door some time ago, and close off the two doors, one going into the Forestry Office and one going into the office that the Sheriff is using now. That would give them a secure office. It would make our voting equipment a little more secure which is becoming more and more important. Hopefully, it won't cost the County anything. I'm hopeful that we'll be able to get the money. In any event, what we're looking at is one door and putting another door in between the Forester and the little hallway there. So, that's about the gist of what we're looking at doing. The Sheriff has talked with the Judge and he is ok with what we're doing. I don't know that we're asking your permission, or informing you, I don't know what it is, but there it is.

Mrs. Ingram, Registrar, commented: I did speak with Kenny Cox about it, and he said that it was all work that he could do. What I would need to submit for grant reimbursement would be the total of labor and materials costs. But he said he would be willing to do the work instead of an outside contractor. He's hoping that when the wall is knocked out where the door used to be, that the frame might still be there.

Sheriff Zeman - the Judge said that as long as we weren't changing anyone's space, he was ok with it. This would make a secure situation for everyone. He fully understands and agrees with the changes.

Supervisor Boothe questioned the new trails for the voting machines if its passed by the legislators. Are our machines adaptable?

Mr. Houston - somewhat, but we don't know how that legislation is going to play out. Just speaking for myself and not the Board, these seem to be far and away the best machines that we've ever had. There has been no reported fraud with these machines. We talking about spending \$30 million in Virginia, or something like that I saw. What interests me in the proposal that I've seen in the Senate legislation, is talking about going to a machine that prints paper ballots and then those paper ballots are counted by computer. To me, the least secure way is when you're dealing with paper, because paper is easier to defraud. I imagine that there's somebody out there that's smart enough to figure out how to defraud these machines. But you don't have to have a lot of smarts to take 50 papers out of one pile and put 50 other papers back in the same pile. So, personally, I think what we have right now is working very well. Its friendly for the voter, much more than the lever machines. Its much easier for the poll workers. The only big problem that we've had with these machines was in the printer that prints the ticket at the end of the day and it failed in the middle of the day and we had to go in and replace it. When it failed, the machine quit working. The only big problem we've had is with the printer. I've been working with this since 1994 and this is far and away the best system we've had. People want perfection and I don't think we'll ever have perfection. The question that nobody seems to ask is this the best that we've ever done? And most people would agree that it is. Can it be better? Well, maybe, but I'm afraid people are going to throw the baby out with the bathwater.

Supervisor Boothe - I think that our system now is the most secure that we've ever had because these things are not hooked up on the internet. Each station either prints out the results or calls it in, and that looks like to me the biggest problem would be people hacking into the system.

Mr. Houston - what we're doing with ours, when the machine closes down at the end of the day, we print a tab on each of the machines. The machines do communicate with each other, but we're getting that individual report and we compare those machine to machine, but nothing's gone in over the internet.

Supervisor Boothe - really the only way anything could be manipulated would be by the company that's setting them up, with the potential funds that they stand to make doing all this, to me it would be ludicrous for them to mess them up.

Mr. Houston - not counting that five years in Federal prison. It upsets me because I think we have a good system and people are getting ready to throw it out. I think the prudent move would be to give it a couple of more years and watch it to see if we have problems. People forget the election in Roanoke a few years ago when one candidate got zero votes on one of the machines. We're not seeing any problems like that with these machines.

Mr. Carl Ayers, Social Services Director, appeared before the Board. In reference to Social Services, he reported that the State is looking at how they allocate funding through our budgetary process. Right now, we are set up through 22 separate budget lines. Each budget line has its own match rate. Some are 100%, some are 90-10, some are 80-20, 75-25, each one has its own amount of money. There's a proposal out through the Virginia Department of Social Services to change that to five budget line items. And by collapsing all those budget lines together, they're going to go to one single match rate out of all of these. Its supposed to be a cost neutral proposal to the County. Instead of us having all these different budget lines and each one having a different match rate, then each of the five main budget lines would have a match rate of 16-17%. And supposedly that's to be a cost neutral rate and not cost the locality anything extra in funding to do that. What that's actually going to look like, which budget lines fall into it, we don't know. How we ended up with all these budget lines, in the Code, there is a different budget line that falls in response to each legislative piece of the budget. I think they're going to run into some major issues whenever they start trying to pull one piece and put it into another and put them all together. But that's one of the big proposals that they're looking at for DSS for the fiscal year that's coming up. I've already submitted my budget based on what we have in place now. Just to make you aware that this is the proposal that's out there. It shouldn't affect anything since its cost neutral, but I wanted you to be aware of it.

Supervisor Boothe - have they given you specifics as to what will be combined?

Mr. Ayers - it will be everything except for pass-thru funding and anything Federal by itself. Pass-thru funding is Federal and local, no State money. The State just passes it through their budget. Then you have 100% Federal lines, such as the day-care budget line. Each one of these is separated out specifically and when we do our payroll, for example, we pull a percentage

out of 22 different lines. It's a very complicated process and they're trying to simplify the process a little bit. I don't know the proposals yet. If I get something in writing, I'll bring that to you. Now, as the Chairman of the CSA Management Team. There's two things I want to talk to you about. The first is therapeutic foster care, treatment of foster care. Effective March 1, the Federal government has changed how you can bill case management services through Medicaid. How that affects therapeutic foster care is that each foster child has a certain amount of money that is billed each month through Medicaid that pays for case management services. That has been between \$1600-1700/month depending on which provider we go with. We have 3-4 different providers in Virginia. Effective March 1, that goes to \$326. CSA has to pick up the extra cost. So you're looking at each child in therapeutic foster care, you're looking at picking up approximately \$1300/month in expenses. For our locality, looking at next year, its probably going to cost about \$60,000 with local match rate at 23.24%, you're looking at approximately \$14,000 extra local money for that piece of it. The Federal government just sent it down and said we're not doing it any more effective March 1 and CSA has to pick up the funding for it. Just to make you aware of it, there's no arguing about it, this is what will be done.

Supervisor Boothe - how are we running budget-wise right now?

Mr. Ayers - we've been all right for the last couple of years. I've got it set at a level that we've come under for the last several years, and at this moment we're still running under budget, so we can afford this change.

Supervisor Belcher - how many children are in the program?

Mr. Ayers - generally 8-10 at any given time. You figure 10 kids at \$1300/month, that will put you well over \$100,000 for the total cost of that piece of it. Its just something that they decided to switch. This all goes back to the 4-E charge-backs that I talked with you all about over the past couple of years where our State department changed how they allow localities to bill 4-E funds and so now the Federal government is looking at everything that DSS does, funding-wise. Basically its making it more difficult for every program. That's already done, its here and we have to deal with it. Basically you can expect an increase in the CSA budget. The next one is Senate Bill 1332. This is the bill from Senator Davis in the 34<sup>th</sup> district. The bill specifically states that it adds a new mandated category to the CSA. What I mean by mandated category is right now there are two mandated streams that fall under CSA, which are foster care and special education IEP placements. This is a new mandated category. Basically to prevent kids that need mental health services from having to go through the relief of custody process and come into the care of DSS. There are specific criteria related to that. Basically if somebody files a CHINS petition on a child through court services. CHINS is a child in need of services. If they are eligible to file that petition through court services, there is specific criteria set out in the Code, if they meet that criteria, that would make them eligible for funding through CSA.

Supervisor Boothe - who decides if they meet the criteria?

Mr. Ayers - the intake officer is the one that decides whether or not they can file a

CHINS petition. It wouldn't be that you would go before the intake officer, it would just mean that you'd use the same criteria as the CHINS petition, and the FAPT would be the one that would determine whether or not they'd meet the criteria to access this line of funding. You take the same criteria and pull it into the FAPT process and then any child that would need mental health services that the family says they need to come into foster care to get services, could then get those services as mandated without having to do a relief of custody for them to come through the court system. I was in Richmond for the committee hearing on this, and they're estimating a total of about 500 kids for the State of Virginia. But 500 kids that are generally going into residential placement, with that placement averaging \$120,000-150,000/year, you can easily do the math for that type of legislation. It has been reported out of committee, reported out of Senate finance, the budget bill that came out yesterday, Senate Bill 750, passed 40-0, with this information in it that they would include \$2 million into the CSA with the local match to serve these kids. These are mental health, community services board, type children that are going to be mandated to receive services through CSA.

Mr. Campbell - did your League take a position on this?

Mr. Ayers - yes, strongly opposed. That was our presentation at the Committee meeting, that we were strongly opposed. Our position is that the funding, if they're going to fund it, needs to be included in mental health services through the Community Service Boards, not to add another mandated category to CSA. Basically what that means to the locality is the match. Whenever I talk about the match, I'm talking about the local share. They approved their bill yesterday. There's two, House Bill 1650, which they approved 68-30, which puts the funding into the Department of Mental Health. That's the one that we've put out a position against. The Senate Bill version, which passed 40-0, is the one that puts it into the mandated category through CSA. Its heading down the path of putting it into CSA. The House Bill is just a budget bill, its already died. It never got out of committee. The Senate bill is out of committee, with the amendment, that if its passed, they'd have to put the funding into it. You've got the bill passed out of committee, and then a budgetary amendment that has money attached to it. It didn't die in Senate finance.

Supervisor Boothe - what about the family? Are they still involved in the process?

Mr. Ayers - the family has to be in the process. If it's a case where the family has custody, we can't even look at the case without the family member coming in and sitting down at the table and signing off on our documents that we discussed this child. If we're going to run through the FAPT process, we've already got our procedures built in. The big thing is that this becomes a mandated category. We have no control once it becomes mandated, they can go wherever they want, whatever expense.

Supervisor Boothe - have the community service boards taken a stand on this?

Mr. Ayers - as far as I know they were supporting it, because it takes work off of them but doesn't take any of their funding to do this. We will continue with the League to strongly

oppose it.

Consensus of the Board was for the County Administrator to write a letter to the County's legislators in opposition to the bill.

Mr. Will Stratton, President of the Board of Directors of The Jacksonville Center, next appeared before the Board.

His presentation to the Board included a background of the Center; purchased in 1995, in 2002 a renovation grant was received for the barn itself, in 2004 a grant was received for construction of the residential craft school, and the school began in 2005. Public and private support is needed for the Center and that's why we're here today, to ask for your support. There are three major programs of the Center: 1) showcasing, the gallery and retail shop; 2) Education programs, which is the biggest part of our Center, offering over 1400 hours for the Spring season; 3) Incubator for residential artists to get established. We have three very well equipped studios, with a wide variety of pottery programs, blacksmithing, and glassworking. For 2006, \$120,000 was added to the local economy through salaries and fees, mostly to Floyd County residents; \$44,000 for purchase of local goods and services; and we spent about \$4000 in direct costs promoting Floyd County; around 75% of our budget stays in Floyd County. The Sustainable Living Energy Center is a separate building adjacent to the barn, where a variety of educational programs aimed at environmental and energy conservation, green building technology and materials, are held. We are requesting \$5000 in matching funds from the County to be used as a match for a grant application to Virginia Commission for the Arts, for a total of \$10,000 in operating support for the Center. The Board would need to pass a resolution in support of the application, but our staff would prepare the application itself. The deadline is April 2 for the application.

Further discussion/questions followed on the monthly disbursements.

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Whitlock, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the monthly disbursements, plus additions, as presented.

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 8a - Subdivision plats as approved by Agent for January 2007. Mrs. Lydeana Martin reported 12 plats approved in January, creating 11 lots. There are two conservation easements shown on the report. One is at Twin Falls, a 50 acre section along the stream; and one is the Abundant Dawn Community, around 70 acres. We are trying to work with the Commissioner and Clerk of Court to track these easements. They are surprised that some people put their land into easements but don't file for the tax relief. For the Board's information, the Crooked Road organization will be holding a strategic planning retreat soon,

and also are having a competition for folks to write their own songs about the area.

Agenda Item 8b - Recommendation for appointment to the Workforce Investment Board - business representative. Mr. Campbell reported the receipt of four letters of interest. The Board deferred the matter for discussion later in the day.

Agenda Item 8c - New River Valley Agency on Aging request for Montgomery County Attorney to serve on an “as needed basis” - concurrence from all jurisdictions. Mr. Campbell reported that the Agency has no attorney on staff or retainer, and were requesting the use of the Montgomery County Attorney for 12 hours/year. Because it is a regional agency, concurrence is needed from all participating localities.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to concur with the request from New River Valley Agency on Aging for the Montgomery County Attorney to serve as their legal counsel, and authorize appropriate officials to execute any necessary paperwork, and adopt the resolution as presented (Document File Number     ).

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Mr. Gene Dalton, EMS Operations Manager, next appeared before the Board. He reported 41 calls, 27 transports, no Lifeguard calls, 3 fire stand-bys, 3 public service, for a total of 50 calls for the month.

Mrs. Myra Grim, EMS Administrative Clerk, appeared before the Board and presented her monthly report. She reported that deposits are still down for the month, which Diversified Billing has indicated is normal for the time of year. Figures were not received from Diversified so the aging report is not presented this month.

Mr. Steve Love, Rescue Captain, appeared before the Board and reported 30 transports for the month. He reported that the local crew will be hosting the Area District meeting on May 20 at 6:00 p.m. at the high school. He questioned where we stand on the retention program?

Chairman Ingram reported that no further work had been done on this issue, with the crew indicating their lack of interest in the retirement program. He requested that Mr. Love provide any other information on programs to Mr. Campbell for his review.

Mr. Love reported that Station #4 Rescue should be open by mid-March.

Mr. Ford Wirt, Emergency Services Coordinator, next appeared before the Board. He reported on the following:

- State is performing the aerial photography again, part of Floyd County is completed, the rest should be done soon. This will be much better than what we currently have.
- With the extreme dry weather, there have been a number of brush fires to contend with.
- Regional Jail - presented a chart showing the jail population from 2001-2006; in 2001, Floyd County averaged 15 inmates, for 2006, we average 23. The Jail Board is awaiting the Governor's signature on the expansion program, which will then go to the Compensation Board for funding, we hope, up to 50% of the costs. We are certified for 371 inmates, average 641, last Friday there were 657.
- Communication Center - will be moving forward with this project, the cost factor is going up every day but we hope to move in a rational way. We appreciate the Board approving this project.

Dr. Terry Arbogast, School Superintendent, next appeared before the Board. He reported:

- Presented copy of high school end of course testing scores for the semester and scores for Check 6<sup>th</sup> grade testing;
- Copy of School Board meeting highlights from February 12, 2007;
- Copy of January 31, 2007 enrollment report indicating 2062 students;
- Copy of proposed school calendar;
- Copy of proposed budget - School Board did ask to include a 4<sup>th</sup> bus to be added to the request, staff had already added a 3<sup>rd</sup> bus, but the Board wanted the 4<sup>th</sup>. We do not anticipate any more State funding because it is the 2<sup>nd</sup> year of the biennium.

The Board recessed for lunch at Floyd County High School.

Supervisor Whitlock left the meeting at 1:00 p.m.

Mr. Bob Beasley and Mr. Johnny Hurst, VDoT, next appeared before the Board.

Mr. Hurst reported that all projects that were discussed on January 31, 2007 were included in the plan. We will need the Board to adopt a resolution approving the first year of the plan and the six year plan.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, and carried, it was resolved to adopt the resolution, as presented, for the approval of the FY08 secondary road plan, and the 6-year plan, and authorize appropriate officials to execute same (Document File Number ).

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - absent  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Mr. Beasley reported that a proposed document, entitled Chapter 527, which will affect the business development and subdivision, is being considered. A study panel has been put together to provide regulations for VDoT. Two meetings will be held in March in Salem to educate officials. Will let the Board know when these meetings will be held.

Supervisor Gerald - nothing to report this month.

Supervisor Belcher - appreciate brush cutting, all dirt roads need pothole attention.

Supervisor Boothe - ditch needs repair on Baker Street. A drop-off has already been caused. Did you get the environmental permit on the one started, which had to stop?

Mr. Beasley - have not heard anything yet, should be hearing something soon.

Supervisor Boothe - appreciate what you have been doing.

Supervisor Belcher - appreciate the work done during the last storm, your folks were out fast, even on the dirt roads.

Mr. Beasley - the guys were out all night last night also, just in case of ice.

Supervisor Ingram - thanks for what you do get done and all the ways you help us. I have the same issues - secondary roads need machining when weather will allow. And ditches also need attention when possible.

Mr. Beasley - we do have a ditching contract but don't have the funds to use it.

Mr. James Cornwell, County Attorney, next appeared before the Board. He reported on two issues:

- The Acree's and Bean's have a case in Circuit Court. There was a motion made to bring the County into that lawsuit, I opposed that motion. The Judge's response was that he was going to let the County be brought in, but its probably not going to stay very long. I have not yet received any allegations against the County, but we will be responding to those when they are filed.

- We have a request in the State budget for Floyd County to be reimbursed for funds under the Economic Development program from VDoT. We are still in the running for that. It did not come out of the Senate, but it came out of the House, with one-half of our money attached. Now it will go to the Senate and House committees to resolve. We have a list of people that we may want to contact to see if we can get our money. We have one-half chance to get one-half of our money.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, it was resolved to rescind the motion from earlier in

the day to approve the minutes of January 9 and January 31, 2007.

Supervisor Boothe withdrew the motion.

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and carried, it was resolved to reconsider the action of appointment of Samuel Stephens to the Workforce Investment Board from the January 9, 2007 meeting.

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - absent  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and carried, it was resolved to rescind the action of appointment of Samuel Stephens to the Workforce Investment Board from the January 9, 2007 meeting.

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - absent  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Consensus of the Board was for staff to arrange for interviews of the candidates for the Workforce Investment Board for the March regular meeting.

The Board recessed for a site visit to the Floyd County Commerce Park.

Supervisor Whitlock re-entered the meeting at 4:00 p.m.

Agenda Item 8d - Proposed Resolution for Pulaski County to serve as administrative and fiscal agent for New River Community Corrections and Pretrial Services. Mr. Campbell commented that concurrence is needed from all participating localities for Pulaski County to serve in this role. This program is supposed to limit the number of inmates at the Regional Jail into optional programs.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Belcher, it was resolved to adopt the resolution as presented.

Motion and second withdrawn.

On a motion of Supervisor Belcher, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and carried, it was resolved to adopt the resolution as presented, for New River Community Corrections and Pretrial Services, with the inclusion of Supervisor Whitlock serving as the Board's representative for a two year term (Document File Number \_\_\_\_\_).

Supervisor Belcher - aye

Supervisor Whitlock - abstain  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye

Agenda Item 8e - Proposed financing with VML/VACo Finance Program - library project and revenue anticipation note. Mr. Campbell commented that the application has been submitted for both programs by the March 1 deadline. The County has a very good chance of receiving the funds. Did learn that our bond counsel would not be able to be used, requirement to use their counsel, and there is a fee for the counsel. Will need resolution from the Board at a later date.

Agenda Item 8f - Citizens Telephone co-location request at Commerce Park. The Board did a site visit earlier in the day. Consensus of the Board was for the IDA/County Administrator to work out details of the transaction; keeping enough room behind the building for a backhoe or other equipment, acknowledge room for any type of activity at the Phlegar House, obtain a long-term lease. Consensus also of the Board to request that Citizens Telephone develop a site plan as to the building, parking, driveway, power entry, for the Board's review and also have them prepare a lease agreement for the County Attorney's review.

Agenda Item 8g - FY08 budget development schedule. Mr. Campbell presented the proposed schedule for the Board's review. After discussion, it was the consensus of the Board to set the first budget workshop at 6:30 p.m. on February 26, 2007.

Agenda Item 8h - Administration Building heating system. Mr. Campbell reported that the heat/air unit on the Building Official side of the building is not repairable. Other staff had brought this matter to the Board's attention late last year. Three bids were obtained on the replacement, the lowest being \$7500. This replacement will be done as soon as possible.

Supervisor Boothe commented that Mr. Campbell's letter to Dominion concerning their application withdrawal was good. Would suggest that if no response is received, that the same letter be sent to FERC.

Supervisor Boothe and Mr. Campbell brought the Board up-to-date on the Floyd Fire Station #1 survey and other issues. The adjacent property owner has submitted a variance for an entrance permit to VDOT, but nothing has been received to-date.

On a motion of Supervisor Whitlock, seconded by Supervisor Gerald, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adjourn to Monday, February 26, 2007 at 6:30 p.m.

Supervisor Belcher - aye  
Supervisor Whitlock - aye  
Supervisor Gerald - aye  
Supervisor Boothe - aye  
Supervisor Ingram - aye