BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
REGULAR MEETING  
APRIL 13, 2021

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of Floyd County, Virginia, held on Tuesday, April 13, 2021 at 8:30 a.m. in the Board Room of the County Administration Building thereof;

PRESENT: Joe D. Turman, Chairman; Jerry W. Boothe, Vice Chairman; W. Justin Coleman, Linda DeVito Kuchenbuch, and Lauren D. Yoder, Board Members; Dr. Linda S. Millsaps, County Administrator; Cynthia Ryan, Assistant County Administrator, Tabitha Hodge, Operations Manager to livestream and film the meeting.

Agenda Item 1. – Meeting Called to Order.

Chairman Turman called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.

Agenda Item 2. – Opening Prayer.

The Opening Prayer was led by Supervisor Coleman.

Agenda Item 3. – Pledge of Allegiance.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Agenda Item 4. – Approval of minutes of March 3, 2021; March 9, 2021; March 23, 2021 and March 24, 2021.

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Kuchenbuch, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the minutes of March 3, 2021; March 9, 2021; March 23, 2021 and March 24, 2021 as presented.

Supervisor Coleman – yes
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

Agenda Item 6.a. – Mr. Chad Alls, Director of Floyd County Social Services (DSS).

Mr. Alls introduced Ms. Emily Kennedy as the Child Protective Services (CPS) Ongoing Worker who has been with DSS since October 2020. Ms. Kennedy will discuss the transition of CPS Ongoing Services to something new which is being called In-Home Services.

Ms. Kennedy – The State is re-structuring how we do CPS Ongoing and transitioning it into something called CPS In-Home Services. The main goal of CPS In-Home Services is to prevent entry into Foster Care as well as to lower risk of future maltreatment for kids. We get
CPS In-Home cases after the CPS Investigator finishes their investigation or family assessment and determines the family could benefit from further services. One of the main platforms we use for CPS In-Home is collaboration with the family. The State is really stressing family engagement, identifying individualized needs, and working on service goals for the family. CPS In-Home can take 3 different tracks:

1) Family Support – for short-term assessment cases
   a. Usually, families who are already volunteering in services
   b. DSS monitors progress
   c. Provides support for the family
   d. Provides a link to additional services if needed

2) In-Home is the most similar to what was previously CPS Ongoing for kids who have a higher risk of going into foster care
   a. DSS identifies the risks the children are in
   b. DSS identifies safety factors and protective capacities of the parents and family
   c. DSS develops service plans which explains to families the risks, what DSS will do, and what DSS will be monitoring as progress
   d. This group is the one Ms. Kennedy works with the most because they need extra services to ensure the kids are as safe as possible
   e. DSS collaborates with the family to get buy-in so they understand why services are needed

3) Dual CPS and Foster Care are cases where one or more child(ren) is determined to be too vulnerable and is placed into care while other children are deemed okay to remain in the home
   a. The foster care worker works with the child in care as well as with the family
   b. The In-Home Services worker works with the children who remain in the home and the family and it looks like In-Home Services.

The State has also identified three different safety scenarios, which dictate how DSS administers services and what they focus on and how the family is helped:

1) Children remain in the home with their parents and DSS provides services in the home to increase the safety of the home.

2) Children are placed with a relative or a caregiver with the goal of returning home within six months. The house may not be safe at the time or the family the safest, but in those six months DSS is going to work at improving that safety so children can return home.

3) DSS determines the children are too at risk and the goal is that children are with alternate caregivers long term.

Regardless of the track for safety scenario, DSS is constantly identifying safety factors, protective capacities, and the risk of future maltreatment to determine where children are and how DSS will provide services. Obviously, every family is very different so DSS is constantly adapting to needs and what works for the family.

Mr. Alls – The Family First funding is driving all of this. Basically, we are taking CPS Ongoing and the prevention services that some agencies were providing and taking those two avenues and bringing them to one central lane called In-Home Services. It is also important to point out that the In-Home Services model can be confusing because at the local level DSS is not
a service provider. DSS links families to services by engaging with families to keep children out of foster care. In Floyd, our biggest issue is substance abuse. Those are some challenging issues to deal with, especially with the substances individuals are becoming addicted to.

**Agenda Item 5. – Approval of monthly disbursements.**

On a motion of Supervisor Coleman, seconded by Supervisor Kuchenbuch, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve the April 2021 monthly disbursements and additional bills as presented.

- Supervisor Coleman – yes
- Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
- Supervisor Yoder – yes
- Supervisor Boothe – yes
- Supervisor Turman – yes

**Agenda Item 7.a. – Proclamation recognizing April 18-24, 2021 as National Crime Victims’ Week.**

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Kuchenbuch, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adopt a proclamation recognizing April 18-24, 2021 as National Crime Victims’ Week (Document File Number 1173).

- Supervisor Coleman – yes
- Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
- Supervisor Yoder – yes
- Supervisor Boothe – yes
- Supervisor Turman – yes

**Agenda Item 7.b. – Appointment to Floyd County/Town/Economic Development Authority/School Board Strategic Planning Subcommittee for a two-year term.**

On a motion of Supervisor Coleman, seconded by Supervisor Yoder, and carried, it was resolved to appoint Supervisor Jerry W. Boothe to the Floyd County/Town/Economic Development Authority/School Board Strategic Planning Subcommittee for a two-year term effective May 1, 2021.

- Supervisor Coleman – yes
- Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
- Supervisor Yoder – yes
- Supervisor Boothe – abstain
- Supervisor Turman – yes

**Agenda Item 6.c. – Constitutional Officers Reports.**

Sheriff Brian Craig – I am here to provide an update:

1) We have two newly hired dispatchers who are both in training, so all positions in Dispatch are filled.
2) We have hired someone for the vacant law enforcement position, but they haven’t started yet because the Academy doesn’t start until June.
3) The Jail has finally released some trustees and they helped out for two days cleaning up around green boxes.
4) I provided you with information about Emergency Medical Dispatch to consider while you are working on your budget, not for this fiscal year but to know what is coming down the pike.
5) The School Resource grant that Deputy Shive is on will expire at the end of this year and there will be no more extensions.

Agenda Item 7.c. – Request for exception to Floyd County Ordinance 62-31 (C)(2) Vision sight distance requirements; setback for 2419 Kyle Weeks Road to be built a minimum of 20’ from the edge of roadway.

Dr. Millsaps – The Building Official put some information together for you that explains the situation. There are photographs and an aerial view. It is his personal recommendation that the County require that the structure be built a minimum of 20’ from the edge of the roadway.

The Board discussed the request and decided to table the agenda item until the Building Official was available to discuss the request.

Agenda Item 6.b. – Public Comment Period.

Chairman Turman read the handicapped statement, explained the rules for speaking, and called for the Public Comment Period.

Ms. Becky Howell, Burks Fork District – I am here requesting assistance. I have a hard time hearing you with your masks on. Would you please use the microphones or turn the volume up? Thanks.

Hearing no further comments from the audience, the Chairman declared the Public Comment Period closed.

Agenda Item 7.d. – Request in the FY21 School Budget for a revenue supplement in the amount of $149,820.00 from federal sources and an expenditure supplement in the amount of $77,166.00 going to Instructional category from Advancing Computer Science Education for computer science integration into classrooms and $72,654.00 going to Technology category from CARES-Vision 2.0 Competitive Grant for Chromebook initiative to second grade students.

On a motion of Supervisor Kuchenbuch, seconded by Supervisor Yoder, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve in the FY21 School budget a supplemental revenue appropriation in $149,820.00 from federal sources and an expenditure supplement in the amount of $77,166.00 going to Instructional category from Advancing Computer Science Education for computer science integration into classrooms and $72,654.00 going to Technology category from CARES-Vision 2.0 Competitive Grant for Chromebook initiative to second grade students.
Supervisor Coleman – yes
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

**Agenda Item 7.c. continued.**

Mr. Mark Bolt – I received this request about one month ago. I took some pictures and looked at the site. They had stakes pegged out there. They wanted to put it up 8’ off the shoulder of the road. I knew that wouldn’t work. I got with Will Dotson of Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and asked him what the right of way was there. He said 20’ from the center of the road. He went out there and looked at it. He says they need to be able to back out and pull out onto the road. VDOT doesn’t like people backing out into the road. There is another house on the south side of that road so anything real close to the road will cause problems for that neighbor. In my opinion it needs to be built at least 20’ from the edge of the road if you want to give a variance. He is right on the top of the knoll. People need to be able to see if anyone is pulling out below. I feel like 20’ would be approvable, but that is just my opinion. You are the bosses.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Does the additional 15’ to comply with the ordinance cause that much trouble to them?

Mr. Bolt – They would have to bring some fill material in.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – At 20’ will they have to do that too?

Mr. Bolt – Yes, at 10’ they will have to put some fill in there. They just want to save some money. I understand wanting to save money, but we need to keep the other people safe also.

Supervisor Yoder – So there is land space available to build it and comply with the ordinance, but the topography of the land drops off.

Mr. Bolt – Yes, sir.

The Board discussed other exceptions to vision sight distance ordinance 62-31 (C)(2) granted in the past. They decided to table this request until the April 27, 2021 meeting so interested Supervisors could drive by and view the area being discussed.

**Agenda Item 7.e. – Approval of resolution to appropriate the fourth quarter of the approved FY21 budget.**

Vice Chairman Boothe – Do you know of anything from what you’ve seen so far that gives you concern?
Ms. Ryan – I personally find it very difficult to read the financial statements and look at the percent remaining with it not including the entire year at this point in time.

Vice Chairman Boothe – That is why I was asking, because it is hard for me with the percentage at the end being based on what has been done so far and not the entire budget.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – It does appear that we have done relatively well. The reason we appropriated by quarters was because we did not know how things were going to go and we have done better than we hoped.

Ms. Ryan – At the point the decision was made to appropriate by quarter, we really didn’t know if we were going to be in the position of having to shut down local government for a couple of months and laying off people or closing programs or departments. When the decision was made to appropriate by quarter it was definitely the right move at that point in time. As everything has progressed and with the help of the CARES money and with revenues being received as was budgeted, we have done fine this year. I cannot tell you how we stand on a department-by-department basis, but overall, my sense is that we are in good shape.

Chairman Turman – I think our department heads deserve a lot of credit for that.

Dr. Millsaps – I have heard from some of the outside folks that we also help fund that for FY22 if you are comfortable, they would prefer to not doing quarterly because some of their expenses are not necessarily even. A lot of those organization are already low in their cash reserves and it makes it challenging for them. They understood you doing it quarterly due to the level of uncertainty this past year.

Vice Chairman Boothe – I hope we can go back to doing it the way we were simply due to these financial statements so that I can follow them better.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I think it was the right move when we did it to be conservative and cautious, because we did not know what FY21 was going to look like.

On a motion of Supervisor Coleman, seconded by Supervisor Yoder, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve a Resolution of Appropriation for the fourth quarter of FY21 beginning on April 1, 2021 and ending on June 30, 2021 in the amount of $9,506,367.00 (Document File Number 1174).

  Supervisor Coleman – yes
  Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
  Supervisor Yoder – yes
  Supervisor Boothe – yes
  Supervisor Turman – yes

Agenda Item 7.f. – Approval of a budget transfer of $10,147.89 from contingency to appropriate line items to continue a one-step pay increase for County employees for April 1, 2021 – June 30, 2021.
Ms. Ryan – This is the same amount we moved from contingency for the 3rd quarter. This budget transfer will complete funding the one-step increase for County employees that was implemented in December 2021.

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to approve in the FY21 budget a transfer of $10,147.89 from contingency to appropriate line items to continue a one-step pay increase for County employees for April 1, 2021 – June 30, 2021.

Supervisor Coleman – yes
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

Agenda Item 7.g. – Results from mowing bid.

Dr. Millsaps – As you recall you had us go out to bid for mowing. We hoped to receive at least 3 responses. Instead, we did not receive any other than the one we originally received from the company we are currently using and that was an increase over what we are paying now. We considered taking this work and doing it in-house but based on the timing of already being in mowing season, we will look at options for the coming year. For this summer it is really too late to do anything.

Ms. Ryan – When we released the mowing bid, we put it in the newspaper, put it on our website, and sent the bid specifications to those companies who bid last year. When we did not receive a bid from them, I talked to each regarding why they did not bid. Each told me they are so busy that they are not only not taking on any new work, but they are dropping existing clients. It made me feel fortunate we have the one company interested in doing our mowing.

Supervisor Yoder – I think the problem is that companies are having a hard time finding employees.

Ms. Ryan – Yes, they both said that.

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Coleman, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to accept the bid from Rakestraw Lawn Care, Inc. and to authorize the County Administrator to execute the contract (Document File Number 1175).

Supervisor Coleman – yes
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

Agenda Item 7.h. – Results from Recreation Concessions request for proposals.
Dr. Millsaps – Unfortunately, our response here was even worse and we have no bids. Some of the options that have been discussed are having no concessions, seeing if the Boosters Club might be willing to handle concessions, or if we specifically invited convenience store owners since it is the same type of stuff they already have. But we don’t know if any of them would be interested so we would like to find out your ideas for a plan B.

Vice Chairman Boothe – I had one citizen suggest that we put vending machines in for drinks and snacks.

Supervisor Yoder – The problem is that for about 70% of the year there are not enough games for actual vendors to be supported. During a football game, there is not enough going on for food to be sold. Vending machines for some of the year would be good. During softball and baseball season with 12 games, there is enough going on to support a vendor. I like the idea of a vending machine, but people are going to want more than a pack of nabs if they have ball games running all day.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Perhaps we could check with the School vendor contract and piggyback off of that.

Supervisor Coleman – It is very handy when you have multiple kids playing games to be able to get nachos or a hot dog. I would like to see some type of actual concession. Could there be a way for the department to establish a program where parents could be involved in lieu of registration fees? The parent could volunteer for so many hours at concessions determined by the department. It would help make registration fees more obtainable for parents. This could be a pilot program and perhaps extend it out to service taking care of the fields.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I think that is a great idea. Perhaps we could also reach out to church groups.

Vice Chairman Boothe – With COVID church groups are reluctant to take on something like this. If we do it ourselves, we need to reach out to our insurance and make sure we have coverage. There will have to be someone designated as the responsible party.

Ms. Ryan – Mr. Agee said one of his part-time employees was willing to coordinate concessions if people were willing to work.

Supervisor Coleman – Speaking from experience, registering two children and buying equipment can easily cost $200. That is difficult for some folks. Anything we can do to make it affordable would be beneficial. I don’t know how many people would be willing to work. It would take some creativity on the department’s part to make it work.

Chairman Turman – When I was doing auctions there was a couple who would run concessions and give the money to Cystic Fibrosis. I wonder if there are civic organizations who would be willing to do this?
Supervisor Yoder – When I was on the Recreation Authority, the year I thought it was most successful we had a part-time employee who was paid to be there with regular hours. We supplemented them with volunteers. They were in charge of scheduling the volunteers, getting supplies, working if a volunteer did not show up, and keeping it clean. It cost about ½ of what concessions made but it was worth it.

Agenda Item 6.d. – Mr. David Clarke, Resident Engineer, Virginia Department of Transportation.

Mr. Clarke provided construction and maintenance updates:

a. On the maintenance side –

| Dealing with weather events with help coming in from other counties | Various roads |
| Working on gravel roads | Various roads |
| Pothole patching | Oxford Street, Daniels Run, Fairview Church Road, Conners Grove Road |
| Worked on shoulders | Kings Store Road, White Oak |
| Tree cutting | I think we got to the tree on Rt. 615, but I am not positive. I will go back that way. |
| Dust control | On gravel roads |
| Ditching and pipe cleaning | |
| Sight distance issue | Indian Valley Road |
| Will lose a space to correct handicapped parking at the Courthouse |
| Paving schedule for asphalt overlay is out. We will be doing some of Barberry Road, White Rock Road, Indian Valley Road, and Rts. 8 and 221. |

b. On the construction side –

The bridge in Montgomery County at the end of Rt. 615 in Pilot will be replaced next year around this time. They will work on it one lane at a time with a traffic signal.

We will be replacing the bridge at Goose Creek down at Shawsville Pike.

6 Year Plan | Have started work on Roger Road. The Public Hearing will be advertised for 7:00 p.m. on April 27. I will send a draft plan which will be last year’s
plan updated by taking off those roads that have been completed. The construction budget in other counties has been less than previous years. I have not looked at Floyd County’s construction budget yet.

Supervisor Coleman – I appreciate the work. They did a great job on the safety project on Indian Valley Road. It looks really, really good. I think it will help people turning in there. I mentioned some concerns to Mr. Darrell Sowers last week. I failed to mention one and that is on Alum Ridge Road between Dusty Rock Road and Lester Road. It appears to me that it was not bored deep enough and now there is a considerable hump on both lanes, all the way across the travel portion of the road. There are other places that you can feel but that is the worst.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Please pass on my thanks for the work on Rt. 8. It made a real difference. I have been in discussion with Mr. Sowers about where Laurel Branch Road and Wills Ridge Road intersect. They talked about putting a stop bar and moving it back. It seemed that there might be brush blocking that site. That structure is back on a person’s property. Have they put the stop bar in yet or do you know?

Mr. Clarke – Probably not. They don’t get to that type of work until later in the year.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Have you had a chance to review the pictures on the situation down on Fox Street?

Mr. Clarke – I’ve seen the pictures and the video. We will have to figure out what can be done about that, perhaps a drain needs to be put in.

Supervisor Boothe – I went down during the hard rain after the hail, it appears the water on Penn Road gathers and runs up on the handicap ramp. I believe if the ditch were re-established on that side and carried around the curve at the intersection it would catch a lot of the water. I know you didn’t engineer it, but it appears a drain should have been put in like what was done on Oxford Street. They’ve had a problem there for a while, but after the new sidewalks were put in, it has intensified the problem. They put a drain on their property and had their driveway repaved and raised up. Since the sidewalk was put in, there has been a problem when two cars try to pass with one having to go into their yard. The sidewalk took up part of the road. Perhaps the traffic needs to be directed just one way, instead of two way. Also, on this end where they put the sidewalks and cross bridge, there are handrails everywhere except the cross bridge. That is a deep drop off.

Mr. Clarke – That was a Town project, and I don’t know that we maintain sidewalks.

Supervisor Boothe – Please check into it and see if it is part of your maintenance because it is a liability waiting to happen. Thank you for what you do.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – There is still brush down from the big storm on Thomas Farm Road and Rt. 615. I have been out on Bethlehem Church Road and it is in pretty rough shape. I
saw two orange flags on White Oak Grove Road, and I think they are getting ready to start work there. Red Oak Grove Road, Huckleberry Ridge Road, Moore Road – all of these roads have gotten a lot of rain and are falling apart. Please see about patching the holes. Red Oak Grove Road is about to turn into gravel. Is the information about the bridge in Pilot on your website? Please get anything you have on it to me.

Mr. Clarkc – They will be replacing the pipe with concrete box culverts.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Will they raise it up any?

Mr. Clarke – It can only be raised so much because it still has to tie into Pilot Road.

Supervisor Yoder – There is still a tree down on Rt. 221 in the State right of way. I have had a request for dust control on Bear Ridge Road. I had someone ask if you do speed studies on dirt roads.

Mr. Clarke – By statute it is 35 mph. The reasoning is that is the absolute maximum speed. When you post you are saying it is safe to drive this speed and you can’t really say that on a gravel road. You are responsible for driving to the conditions of the road, but under no circumstances are you to go over 35 mph.

Supervisor Yoder – In this case the person was okay with people driving 35 mph, but felt that people were driving more than that. I told them that sounded more like an enforcement issue than a posting issue and that she might want to call the Sheriff’s Office. Buffalo Mountain Road at the Parkway has a lot of potholes. Could you or someone from your office meet with some of the people on Ponderosa Road? I have had multiple calls from people who would like to talk to someone in the evening.

Mr. Clarke – Yes, this is our chance to meet with them. That would be good. We still need to get right of way for that project.

Chairman Turman – Thank you for going to Shelor Road and marking the right of way. Tuggles Gap Road to Fairview Church Road has not been machined. There are a lot of limbs hanging down hitting vehicles. Tuggles Gap Road needs to be machined. On Springdale Road off of Rt. 8, a tree on the State right of way fell onto a fence. The property owner cleaned it up and fixed the fence, but there is another one leaning over at a 45-degree angle and he would like help with that one. Also, when you are over here, I would like to get with you and take you to talk to someone about his road. Are there any plans to replace the bridge just as you go into Willis where it used to be Goad’s Garage?

Mr. Clarke – It is on an inspection schedule. Almost every old bridge we have is planned to be replaced, it is just a matter of when.

Chairman Turman – There have been two fatal wrecks there. I noticed the other day that they were repairing the side on the bridge for about the third time. When trucks meet cars there is not much room on that bridge. I would like to see it straightened out a little bit.
Mr. Clarke – I will see what it says on the schedule about that bridge.

Chairman Turman – The rest of my district is asking. “Where are the graders?” I tell them you are covered up. Rt. 221 from Camp Five Road to town is breaking up. I appreciate all you are doing.

**Agenda Item 6.e. – Mr. David Rose, Davenport & Company.**

Mr. Rose provided an update on the results of the request for proposals process on the 2021 new money financing and the next steps in the process:

1) Two weeks from today we will ask you to formally approve one particular approach for the new financing;

2) Floyd County identified an additional $8 million of new money capital needs to be funded by the end of fiscal year (FY) 2021;

3) In February, Davenport estimated that Floyd County would need 3¢ to 5.5¢ in real estate tax equivalent, with 1¢ of that being for the high school project and 2¢ to 4.5¢ being for the $8 million financing;

4) Interest rates are historically low, but they have moved up slightly;

5) Six banks responded to the request for proposals;

6) The results of the competitive requests for proposals means Floyd County will be able to complete the capital program with a total of 3¢ equivalent in FY22;

7) $175,000 of recurring meals tax revenue is built into the County’s annual budget to pay debt service beginning in FY22;

8) The Capital Reserve Fund, consisting of $197,000 already in that fund and transferring $400,000 from Meals Tax Fund to Capital Reserve Fund, will be strategically used to pay debt service during years in which debt payments exceed revenues;

9) Options include:
   a. Financing all $8 million with Truist;
   b. Combination of Sterling with $6.3 million and Skyline with $1.3 million;
   c. Financing all $8 million with Skyline;

10) Davenport considered the following when analyzing the funding options:
   a. Does the proposal, or combination of proposals, provide funding for the full $8 million of capital projects – *All options provided full funding*;
   b. Are the interest rates fixed for the full term of the loans – *All options have fixed interest rates for the full term of the loans*;
   c. What is the total debt service cost of this proposal over the life of the loans – *Truist had the lowest cost, the combination is about $63,000 higher, Skyline is $365,000 more expensive*;
   d. What are the collateral requirements for the proposals – *Truist only requires the County Administration Building, Sterling requires that and also the Recreation/Extension Building and the Radio System*;
   e. What are the prepayment provisions of the loans – *Truist allows pieces of the loan to be prepaid with minimal penalty, the combination with Sterling is much less flexible, Skyline is very flexible*;
f. Are there any other considerations that affect the favorability of the proposals – *Truist has the loan for Floyd credit approved, Sterling expects the loan to be credit approved in two weeks, and Skyline is credit approved in combination, but the full loan would take 48 hours to be credit approved and the line of credit would have to be reduced from $3.5 million to $2 million;*

11) We are actually proposing a fourth option which is a combination of Truist and Skyline rather than Sterling and Skyline.

12) The Board of Supervisors does not need to take action on a financing option until April 27, but we ask that you reach out to the Economic Development Authority and try to get them to take action in late April or early May;

13) This financing must close on or before May 21, 2021.

Supervisor Yoder – What is the advantage of going with the Truist/Skyline combination instead of just going with Truist for the whole financing?

Mr. Rose – It allows you to keep some money locally because the cost is almost identical. It will not financially hurt you. Skyline is not looking for any collateral and will let you prepay at any time. This option gives you the most flexibility.

Vice Chairman Boothe – So this building would be the only collateral for the Truist/Skyline combination?

Mr. Rose – That is correct.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Skyline has already approved the credit for the $1.3 million loan and Truist has already approved the $6.3 million?

Mr. Rose – That is correct. We will talk to the banks some more and update those numbers. We will send the information to your staff in a week or so.

**Agenda Item 6.f. – Dr. John Wheeler, Superintendent, Floyd County Public Schools.**

Dr. Wheeler – I am here to give you an update on some items. Everything is going well with the building and the HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning). We are doing it meticulously and we will make sure it is done right. We meet every two weeks, especially with the HVAC. On the HVAC everything that was cut out to make the price feasible, we are putting back. We will have air conditioning by the end of this month or the first of May. We are going right behind it with the air handler units and circulation; we are putting brand new ones in. This will create much more efficiency and airflow. We now have the funds which are earmarked for this. The CARES (Coronavirus, Relief, & Economic Security Act) money and ESSERF (Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund) are very, very specific. I spend a lot of time collaborating with Virginia Department of Education Finance. The HVAC is doing well. We are getting complete controls on everyone in every school. We are doing bipolar ionization in every building in every window unit and with the new HVAC. We are still on track with the Collaboration and Career Development Center (CCDC). If it would dry out, we would like to get the parking lot done. They are laying block this week. We are good on the building
part on the new section. Inspectors are making sure the compaction is right. We would love to have the parking lot done and at least graveled and lined off for the start of school next year and football season. It is well within budget at this point. We continually work with our teachers and look at equipment. We want to get everything we possibly can new, especially the welding equipment, building trades and automotive.

The objective with the budget we gave you was to give back debt every time it comes off and not ask for any more. The other objective is to continually be competitive with providing the best resources we can for kids, which is teachers and pay them as well as we can, plus increasing the experiences. We are well on our way with that. Part of that is the supplemental income from new grants we received. Staff has done a great job with that – getting Amazon Future Engineers and the Advanced Computing grants. We are able to do it. We are using some of CARES to fund this. If not, we would be coming back and saying we need more. We are utilizing the CARES money to bridge it for a few years. A budget is not year to year in a school system, because we have no way to generate our own income. I think we are good, and we will get all the resources we need. We will continue to be efficient. As people retire, you absorb what you can, and you give back what you can. We are getting about $400,000 less than last year, but we are doing okay. I think it is a big win to get the budget where it is right now and take all the debt service coming off and giving it back to you and still provide everything we need. Once we see the next criteria with ESSERF-3 we will make sure every building – between roofs, air circulation, and every other part – comes out basically as brand-new buildings, up-to-date and ready to go for a long, long time. I appreciate you being a part of that. The biggest part was the HVAC system.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Did I understand you to say that all units in all buildings will be ionized?

Dr. Wheeler – Every one of them from the School Board to the Bus Garage to the Technology House, whether it is a window unit or a whole HVAC system. We got the new gym done before volleyball and we have the high school cafeteria finished already, because those are two of the largest gathering spaces.

Dr. Millsaps – Dr. Wheeler has been very helpful on some operational issues. They let us use the fields for the Recreation program and made changes to make that work. For the facilities that need them, he is letting us bring in port-a-johns so people will at least have something. I also went by and talked to your building trades instructor about some ideas related to green boxes. Everybody has been willing to help out. It is very much appreciated.

Dr. Wheeler – We are looking at the old gym and getting air conditioning in there and taking down the room divider. We will do that in house with funding. Those basketball goals need to be changed to an electronic winch system, because it is extremely dangerous the way it is. Once that is done you will have a fantastic basketball venue right here in Floyd. Recreation will be able to have some great tournaments because you will have 5 gyms with air conditioning and seating. There will be a lot of possibilities there. I will keep you up to date on that.
Agenda Item 7.i. – Waiver of tire disposal fees at Floyd County Transfer Station on Earth Day, April 22, 2021.

Agenda Item 7.j. – Update on Solid Waste issues.

Dr. Millsaps – These two agenda items should really be together. As you know, there has been an enormous number of things going on around the issues of Solid Waste, including green boxes and other things. Let me start with the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) issues and a potential Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issue.

DEQ violation

As you know we received a warning letter from the DEQ. Essentially the only concern on this was that the report was received late from our consultant. This morning I received the full letter of explanation from our consultant to DEQ. He had emailed me earlier that people got behind in their office and they were dealing with staff issues such as people working from home during COVID. They have prepared the response for us to send forward. It is something we need to pay attention to, but it is not a great concern. It is something I wanted to make you aware of.

The Board discussed why the consultants did not provide the required report in a timely manner.

Dr. Millsaps – In their response to DEQ it states, “Draper Aden exceeded the notification timeframe due to a combination of a change in laboratory LIMS (Laboratory Information Management System) and corresponding report submittal process and staff remotely working from home. The final installment of the laboratory report was not recognized as being received until August 25 at which time we immediately notified VDEQ. Draper Aden Associates addressed these issues previously with the laboratory. We have also taken steps to improve our internal processes.”

Supervisor Coleman – I would be interested in knowing what steps they have taken to improve their internal processes.

Dr. Millsaps – Okay. I will put that on my conversation with them.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Please let them know we are very concerned with missing deadlines.

Supervisor Yoder – We are trying to get off DEQ’s radar.

Dr. Millsaps – I received a second letter from DEQ dated April 2. It says this is not a final review, but it does have interim measures, work plan technical review. It asks to schedule a conference call to talk through issues and potential modifications. That is on the agenda for me, Draper Aden and Sherrell Thompson. I will follow up with you after we have that conversation. We have received notification that a concern raised with the EPA about a green box site. It is a
site that Mr. Bolt has looked at and feels that there is not an issue there. But because a concern was raised, the agency will look into it. With all that said we need to look at solutions for several things related to solid waste. Once we get through the budget process, I plan to move to the whole issue of solid waste. We have been talking a lot about green boxes and the trash side, but I will try to look at it holistically and all the issues related to public facilities. As an interim step I wanted to talk to you about making a couple of changes in the short term and then look at a larger plan going forward.

Transfer Station hours

On Tuesdays and Thursdays, the Transfer Station will be open to the public from 11:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. That is the same number of hours as it was open before, but it shifts the hours from opening at 8:00 a.m. until 11:00 a.m. Technically our public hours for purposes of DEQ have been 8:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m. five days a week and 8:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. on Saturdays. DEQ will allow us to temporarily move Tuesday and Thursday hours to 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., beginning on Earth Day, which is Thursday, April 22. On Saturday we will probably open at 11:00 a.m. and stay open 3.5 hours or open at 12:00 p.m. and stay open 3.5 hours. Mr. Thompson is supposed to get back to me today with his final decision or I will decide at the end of the day and let DEQ know. I have contacted Finn Graphics Inc. in hopes that you would allow us to make this change. They said they can make a temporary sign before Earth Day. We will be able to move forward with that.

Vice Chairman Boothe – That won’t affect our drivers. It only affects the hours we are open to the public.

Dr. Millsaps – We have told DEQ that we have a green box problem and one of the reasons people list as a challenge is because the transfer station is not open during hours when folks who are working can get there. We are making this change temporarily starting April 22 and going through May or a little bit longer. Once we settle on some final hours, we will have to go through a full permitting process. But right now, they are allowing us to pilot some things before we set it in stone in a permit.

Vice Chairman Boothe – We want to make sure that Mr. Durbin and Draper Aden monitor this permit and make sure that the hours are the only thing that DEQ changes.

Dr. Millsaps – It wouldn’t be a change in permit now. It is just an email between me and DEQ. DEQ has alluded to there are other things that we will have to address because it has not been reviewed or updated in quite some time. That was going to happen anyway.

The Board gave consensus to change the transfer station hours on a temporary basis as Dr. Millsaps determines.

Supervisor Yoder – I want to say publicly, “Thank you for listening to us. I feel like you have taken what we have said, and what the public has said to us, taken it to heart, and tried to come up with a solution.”
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I think your plan to move forward with a complete, organic, overall look at Solid Waste is really important too. That is also something this Board has talked about for at least four years.

Waiver of tire disposal fees

Dr. Millsaps – We are talking about reducing or eliminating the fees at the transfer station on Thursday, April 22 which is Earth Day, and Friday and Saturday to encourage people to bring in their tires. The concern is that some people might show up with a lot of tires. Do we want to cap how many tires people can bring in?

Vice Chairman Boothe – I recommend that before April 21 we haul off the 999 tires we have in stock. That was our limit at one time.

Chairman Turman – I believe we need some sort of limit on the tires.

Supervisor Coleman – I think the limit should be per household and we need to decide on the type of tires.

The Board discussed who would be eligible to bring in tires under the program and the maximum number of tires that would be accepted at no charge.

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Kuchenbuch, and unanimously carried, it was resolved beginning Earth Day, April 22 – April 24, 2021 the transfer station will accept up to twelve (12) car/small truck tires over the course of the three days per household and the fee for the first 12 tires will be waived.

Supervisor Coleman – yes
Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

Green Box Issues

Dr. Millsaps – We have a lot of recyclables that are ending up in the green boxes. As part of looking at our overall situation, we will look at improving people’s aim.

Chairman Turman – I wish they would re-think sliding the boxes so close together. People have been calling complaining.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – When I went by the boxes on Goose Creek Road, the doors were all closed. We appreciate people closing the doors on the boxes.

Chairman Turman – One problem I see with closing the doors is that some people are not strong enough to open and close the doors. Those people set their bags outside the box and bears
come though and tear the bags open and drag the trash off and the wind blows the garbage around.

Vice Chairman Boothe – I received a suggestion that as you go into the transfer station, that we reconfigure the gates so that they are moved down the hill so the dumpsters can be moved off of the road and down into the facility. Right now, people are parking in the road to use the boxes. This is something to look into to see if it is feasible.

Supervisor Coleman – Until the new Indian Valley green box site gets completed, if Solid Waste can work it into their schedule, please increase the frequency of pick up at other locations in the area. The new site appears to be progressing well. There have been a few warranted concerns raised recently that I have been working on with Dr. Millsaps and Mr. Bolt. A couple of meetings ago we discussed fencing. I think that would help some situations if we could move that to the forefront and let the Board decide on how much we could invest in these sites.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Is that on this particular site or on all of our sites?

Supervisor Coleman – I think we need to look at it, in particular on this one. The property owner is willing to plant some screening material in the fall of the year. Mr. Bolt suggested that we would need to work with him and maybe add some additional material for erosion to go over the top and cover all our bases. I apologize to the Board. These are some things I thought had been taken care of, but it is no reflection on anybody here. You have been fully supportive of this and I appreciate that. I just don’t want there to be any surprises moving forward.

Board members discussed the need to improve the appearance of the green box sites.

Supervisor Coleman – I wonder if the County could pilot a program to facilitate cleaning up around the green box sites. I am sure there are people in this community who would love to do that. I am not sure about the liability, but it is a thought.

Vice Chairman Boothe – We have Green and Clean Day each spring, but maybe we could have a quarterly cleaning concentrating around the green boxes. Perhaps there are groups that would do it on a weekly basis.

Supervisor Coleman – I was thinking about a monthly County sponsored, spearheaded group of volunteers. We give them everything they need. People are looking for an opportunity of where they can serve.

Chairman Turman – Instead of “Adopt a Highway,” we could have “Adopt a Green Box.”

Dr. Millsaps – I appreciate all those ideas and suggestions. We will follow up on those, especially once we finish the budget process. I will be able to move on to that and take a holistic approach.
Agenda Item 8. – Other items of business.

Dr. Millsaps – Since we had our last meeting, I have been reaching out to a lot of our partner people and meeting with them about a variety of things. I have met with most of the people who work for the County already. I have been up to talk to Becky Latucca at The Floyd Center for the Arts. Pat Sharkey, Floyd County Tourism Director, and I met on Friday. I have met with John McEnhill, Executive Director of the Floyd Chamber of Commerce. I went up to Blacksburg and met with the folks at Onward NRV. My first stop was meeting with Kevin Byrd at the New River Valley Regional Commission. I have also been over to talk to Karim Khan, the Regional Director of the Montgomery-Floyd Regional Library. You asked me to work on outreach so that is my most recent list.

Yesterday Pat Sharkey was meeting with Onward staff about their internship program. If you are interning in the New River Valley, your company can sign you up for this program through Onward. This year the program will be capped at 40 people and they will come to Floyd for a day. She was meeting with folks and working on the agenda for that day. The hope behind the program is to encourage the participants to stay in the region once they graduate.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Our clinics for the vaccinations have been going very well. The Floyd Event Center has re-opened and is booking. We have been asked that for our clinic on April 24 if we could pay a small fee to them. They have allowed us to do 8 clinics for free. We utilized their facilities and all we have done is pay for cleaning. They have asked us for a fee of $200 and I would ask the Board if that could come out of CARES funds.

Vice Chairman Boothe – This location has been the ideal place to hold the clinics. They have been generous. I don’t have a problem with paying them.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – They are giving us quite a discount on the price to use the facilities.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Will we need it more than once?

Ms. Ryan – We might. We have it free up through April 17, but after that date the Event Center is being made available to the public. We would like to rent the facility as many weeks as we can until it is no longer needed to hold the vaccination clinics.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – The only problem is they are quickly filling dates with rentals.

Supervisor Yoder – After school lets out why don’t we hold it at the schools?

Ms. Ryan – We talked to Dr. Wheeler and he is more than willing for us to use the schools. Floyd Elementary does not have good traffic flow. The high school is not a good location because of all the construction going on. He did say we could use Willis Elementary. The principal said we could use the new gym. Ms. Portia Thompson, owner of The Pharm House who is the lead on the vaccine clinics, prefers to use the Event Center because of its central location and our familiarity with using it. We could make Willis Elementary work, but it
isn’t our first choice. Another possibility that has been discussed is having a drive-through clinic at Chantilly Farm. We are exploring that possibility right now.

The Board discussed how many Floyd County residents have already been vaccinated and how much longer the vaccination clinics might be needed.

Supervisor Coleman – If possible, I think it would be good to have at least one clinic at Willis Elementary and at least one at Check Elementary for the elderly or people who have transportation difficulties.

Ms. Ryan – If it is Moderna that is being given, then each site will have to be used twice.

After discussing the feasibility of other locations, the Board agreed by consensus to use the CARES fund to pay $200 for the Floyd Event Center each time the center is used after April 17 and through the end of May 2021.

Dr. Millsaps – I want to update you on Tourism because there is a meeting this week. As you heard a moment ago, I met individually with the Chamber of Commerce Director, the Town Manager, and Ms. Sharkey. Yesterday the four of us met together at the Town Hall. At the very end Mr. Mike Patton came in as well. I have given the recommendations the four of us came up with to the Board members who are on the Tourism Development Council (TDC). It is not a new memorandum of understanding. We wanted to give you the staff’s thoughts on what should be included for consideration by you as a funding body and the Town as a funding body and the Chamber as a partner, and also the TDC. We are focused pretty much just on the position of Director of Tourism and how it is funded and not the overall direction tourism should go in the area.

Dr. Millsaps provided the recommendations of the working group:
- The fiscal agent would be responsible for personnel, benefits, workers compensation, unemployment, and including such items as phone, credit card, website, etc.;

Supervisor Yoder – So the existing website would be controlled by the fiscal agent?

Dr. Millsaps – At least the payment for it. This was all about the money and staff and not the content.

Supervisor Yoder – But technically they would own that website, I assume. There probably needs to be someone technically who owns that website and not the TDC. The buck needs to stop somewhere as to who controls the content on the website.

Dr. Millsaps – That is next. This is just the money part. We are saying these are the things that the group who is designated to be the fiscal agent have responsibility to do.
- The fiscal agent will be either the Town or the County; we felt that choice should be with the elected officials and would be determined by the Board of Supervisors and the Town Council;
• The director would report administrative items such as leave forms, hours, etc. to the fiscal agent;
• Continuation of the Visitor Center memorandum of understanding and Tourism Office be co-located together;
• Fiscal agent would be responsible to provide space for historic document storage, marketing and promotional materials, and outdoor booth displays;
• An Operations Board made up of the County Administrator, Town Manager, and Chamber of Commerce Executive Director will serve as the day-to-day guidance for the Tourism Director position;

Supervisor Yoder – Is the Chamber of Commerce putting any money into this or they getting a say without financing?

Dr. Millsaps – At least for the current upcoming year the recommendation is that the funding streams remain the same as they are now with the actual hard dollars coming from the Town and the County, but there is also a recognition of how much in-kind contribution comes in from the business community. Part of what is expected of the Tourism Director is to keep track of how much that is. That would be shown in the overall budget to recognize the in-kind funding.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Would the in-kind include using the office in their facility?

Dr. Millsaps – There is rent paid to the Chamber, $200 a month for the office. In-kind includes free publicity in some of the magazines when a Chamber member provides a free place for the writer to stay. Individual businesses put up items that make the free advertising possible.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – Would the Chamber still be part of the Tourism Development Council?

Dr. Millsaps – Yes. We are thinking about a four-level structure, which would be the Tourism Director, the Operations Board of three plus the Tourism Director would meet every month, the Tourism Development Council would still exist. It would be composed of 2 County members, 2 Town members, and 1 person representing the Chamber of Commerce. The TDC would drive the strategic direction overall, such as how are we doing against a strategic plan.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – That makes sense for where the Chamber should be.

Dr. Millsaps – Part of the Chamber still being on the Operations Board is recognizing that they run the Visitor Center and so they might have input into the day-to-day because they see what the foot traffic is to the Visitor Center.

• The TDC would continue to be a Board made up of Town Council, Board of Supervisors, and a Chamber Board member that provides strategic direction to tourism by meeting at least quarterly;
• The work of the Tourism Director is driven by a multi-year strategic plan that is aligned with the Virginia of Tourism Drive Tourism program for FY22; that allows
us to use Virginia Tourism to facilitate strategic planning at their cost, not our cost and allows us to hook into several other programs;
- TDC will create bylaws for themselves regarding meeting dates, meeting requirements and terms of service for members;
- Tourism would be funded the same as the current agreement for FY22 and any future increases would be determined as a result of the strategic planning efforts and future revenues;
- A Tourism Advisory Board is an important asset to the TDC and to the Tourism Director. This advisory board should remain and continue to be made up of leaders in tourism sector businesses.

Dr. Millsaps – Those are the talking points in the packet from the four staff folks.

Vice Chairman Boothe – I would like a copy of this before we leave today, especially if we are being asked to decide today. Would you please explain the part about future funding?

Dr. Millsaps – These are talking points to get some feedback and then we go from there. In terms of what we are trying to suggest is that this year financially it be structured as it has always been. The follow up thought to that is as part of the strategic planning effort there is a possibility – which would include you, the Town, and various folks in the community that are tied to tourism – if something comes out of that which suggests something different or something more, that is how the process would start. Right now, we are not suggesting any changes to the financial structure.

Supervisor Yoder – For us, the revenue comes out of our lodging tax, so if our revenues go up, we could potentially contribute more…

Vice Chairman Boothe – …and if revenues go down, we could potentially contribute less. Will the Tourism Development Council look at this and make some kind of recommendation?

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I said before that I believe the County Administrator and Town Manager need to meet and talk things over and then it needed to be brought back to this Board and the Town Council and we should all meet.

Supervisor Yoder – The TDC does not get to decide.

Vice Chairman Boothe – I don’t have a problem getting their opinion or their input. But as close as we are getting to the deadline, this is something the Town and County ought to work on.

Supervisor Yoder – I appreciate the hard work you have done on this. I think whoever the fiscal agent is needs to have a certain amount of control because the director is their employee.
Vice Chairman Boothe – Is there any recommendation between you and Kayla (Cox, Town Manager)?

Dr. Millsaps – Ms. Cox and my opinion is that we both feel like we could house it with ourselves. I feel like we could do it. Ms. Cox feels like they could do it. We both feel like we would be okay with the other one doing it. That is why we say that should be a decision from the elected officials. We have had very positive conversations, candidly with all four of us together. It has worked really well. We all came to these very similar thoughts. Part of the reason that we are continuing to hold onto a TDC structure of some type is to make sure there is a forum that includes both the Town, and the County. I know some folks have other opinions about the third part.

Supervisor Yoder – I think the Chamber should be involved in that.

Dr. Millsaps – There are always people who will be concerned that it is owned too much by one or the other. But if operations include Ms. Cox and I and Mr. McEnhill, as the current holders of the seats, and then if the TDC, which includes elected officials from both the Town and the County, sets the direction that keeps it as a way for everybody to have an opportunity to make sure everybody is fully served.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I want to say this here in open session, because I want this to be understood. As an Operations Board is being looked at in Montgomery County it is a great example...Floyd County is not Montgomery County. I know everybody knows that, but I just wanted to say that. I also think the County Administrator and Town Manager are the people on the Operations Board. The Chamber of Commerce Executive Director, in terms of having day-to-day guidance of the Tourism Director position; the Chamber belongs on the TDC, but I don’t see the Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce in the day-to-day with the County Administrator and Town Manager. [Those entities] are where the money is coming from and just because we want to have the Visitor Center and the office co-located, I don’t see where we make that jump.

Supervisor Coleman – I look at it from an employee standpoint. This was prefaced about the care of the position. It is best when you just have one boss. The local governing bodies need to be in the number one position.

Supervisor Yoder – If the position is housed with the Town, then the Town Manager needs to have the authority to discipline because it is their employee. The same thing if the position is housed in the County.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Let’s say it is an employee of the Town that puts you as an advisor with the Town Manager. If it were on the County side, then you would be taking the lead, but you would also seek advice from the Town Manager to keep both bodies represented. It would ultimately fall under your heading if it were the County and if it were the Town, it would be them.
Dr. Millsaps – I think we feel like if it were the three of us or the two of us, we get along well enough that we can do this. There is a history before me of Ms. Martin, Ms. Turman, Ms. Cox, and Ms. Sharkey that made up a group that set some overall direction. We feel like we can do that if it were sitting at the Town, as an example. I think part of the reason we suggested having the two or three people is that way we can ensure and feel responsible to you. If it is at the Town, I can say to you that I know the County is being represented and I have bought off on the direction. We feel like we can do that adequately amongst ourselves. I hear what you are saying Mr. Coleman about having one boss and I think for “When can I take a vacation” and “What do I do in this circumstance” kind of thing it really does end up with whatever place, but we are very conscious of the fact that we wanted both elected bodies to feel like they knew their agenda was going to get moved forward.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – By having that governing board, by having the Town and County on that governing board?

Dr. Millsaps – That is your staff recommendation. That is what we put together. Ms. Cox will share this list when the Town Council meets on Thursday and it is in the TDC packet. Mr. McEnhill was going to talk to his Board too.

Supervisor Yoder – I think it needs to be two people, instead of three, and I would rather it be one.

By consensus, the Board stated they would be happy to take the role of fiscal agent if that is what the Town wants.

**Agenda Item 9 – Board Member Time.**

Supervisor Yoder – I have heartburn on one thing in the financing and that is 15 years on a fire truck. I wish that were 10 years. Fifteen years is a long time on rolling stock.

Supervisor Kuchenbuch – I have one question and maybe you can email, when it says prepayment with a 1% penalty with Truist, does that mean the totality of the loan at any time? If we have a windfall, can we pay off the fire truck without a penalty?

Ms. Ryan – It states you would be paying the whole of the 15-year loan items and not just a portion of them. Three loans can be prepaid separately; with the radio system, recreation building, and fire truck making up one group; the shell building being a separate group; and the garbage truck/ambulance being a third group. If you want to pay off the fire truck it is with the radio and recreation building.

Supervisor Yoder – We are trying to buy fire trucks every 3 or 4 years, if we do 15 years for all the fire trucks, at some point we are going to tap out and no be able to pay for them. In the past we have done 8 to 10 years for fire trucks.

Vice Chairman Boothe – Can we ask if they will do financing for 10 years on the fire truck instead of 15? Just see if they can and what the impact would be.
Dr. Millsaps – Okay.

Agenda Item 8. – Other items of business continued.

On a motion made by Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Yoder, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to go into closed session for timbering under § 2.2-3711 A.6. Discussion or consideration of the investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved, where, if made public initially, the financial interest of the governmental unit would be adversely affected.

  Supervisor Coleman – yes
  Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
  Supervisor Yoder – yes
  Supervisor Boothe – yes
  Supervisor Turman – yes

On a motion of Supervisor Kuchenbuch, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to come out of closed session.

  Supervisor Coleman – yes
  Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
  Supervisor Yoder – yes
  Supervisor Boothe – yes
  Supervisor Turman – yes

On a motion of Supervisor Boothe, seconded by Supervisor Coleman, and unanimously carried, it was resolved to adopt the following certification resolution:

CERTIFICATION RESOLUTION
CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote on the motion to close the meeting to discuss timbering under § 2.2-3711 A.6., of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act;

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Board that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby certifies that, to the best of each member’s knowledge (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting to which this certification applies.

  Supervisor Coleman – yes
  Supervisor Kuchenbuch – yes
  Supervisor Yoder – yes
Supervisor Boothe – yes
Supervisor Turman – yes

This certification resolution was adopted.

Agenda Item 10. – Adjournment.

On a motion of Supervisor Yoder, seconded by Supervisor Boothe, and carried, it was resolved to adjourn the meeting to April 27, 2021 at 6:30 p.m.

Dr. Linda S. Millsaps, County Administrator

Joe D. Turman, Chairman, Board of Supervisors